Athletic Cardiac Adaptation in Males Is a Consequence of Elevated Myocyte Mass
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Background—Cardiac remodeling occurs in response to regular athletic training, and the degree of remodeling is associated with fitness. Understanding the myocardial structural changes in athlete’s heart is important to develop tools that differentiate athletic from cardiomyopathic change. We hypothesized that athletic left ventricular hypertrophy is a consequence of increased myocardial cellular rather than extracellular mass as measured by cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging.

Methods and Results—Forty-five males (30 athletes and 15 sedentary age-matched healthy controls) underwent comprehensive cardiovascular magnetic resonance studies, including native and postcontrast T1 mapping for extracellular volume calculation. In addition, the 30 athletes performed a maximal exercise test to assess aerobic capacity and anaerobic threshold. Participants were grouped by athleticism: untrained, low performance, and high performance (VO2max <60 or>60 mL/kg per min, respectively). In athletes, indexed cellular mass was greater in high- than low-performance athletes (60.7±7.5 versus 48.6±6.3 g/m2; P<0.001), whereas extracellular mass was constant (16.3±2.2 versus 15.3±2.2 g/m2; P=0.20). Indexed left ventricular end-diastolic volume and mass correlated with VO2max (r=−0.45, P=0.01; r=0.55, P=0.002) and differed significantly by group (P=0.01; P<0.001, respectively). Extracellular volume had an inverse correlation with VO2max (r=−0.53, P=0.003 and left ventricular mass index (r=−0.44, P=0.02).

Conclusions—Increasing left ventricular mass in athlete’s heart occurs because of an expansion of the cellular compartment while the extracellular volume becomes relatively smaller: a difference which becomes more marked as left ventricular mass increases. Athletic remodeling, both on a macroscopic and cellular level, is associated with the degree of an individual’s fitness. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance ECV quantification may have a future role in differentiating athlete’s heart from change secondary to cardiomyopathy. (Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2016;9:e003579. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.115.003579.)
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Regular exercise training places demands on the heart that may lead to cardiac remodeling. The rare but prominent cases of sudden cardiac death in elite athletes have underlined the importance of understanding the adaptation underlying athlete’s heart (AH).1 Gaining insights into the remodeling process is important to understand the nature of adaptation and to develop tools that distinguish AH from cardiomyopathic change, particularly those of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM).

Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging is particularly well suited to investigate changes of structure and function in athletic cardiac remodeling because of its multiparametric capabilities, high spatial resolution, and lack of ionizing radiation. The excellent reproducibility of the method means that anatomic change can be quantified with greater confidence and smaller sample size than with other methods.2,3 The emerging CMR technique of extracellular volume (ECV) quantification allows the relative volumes of the extracellular and intracellular myocardial compartments to be quantified. This method has been used to quantify extracellular expansion...
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Methods
Male athletic participants aged between 20 and 45 years were approached via athletic societies and associations. Recruitment was open to athletes competing at regional, national, and international level. Subjects were eligible for inclusion if they took part in regular competition and trained for a minimum of 6 hours per week.

In addition, untrained males who exercised <3 hours per week were recruited from the study institution as a control group. Untrained individuals did not undertake regular athletic training. Subjects were not eligible if they had contraindications to CMR, hypertension (systolic blood pressure >140 mm Hg), or systemic medical illness. All athletes underwent a CMR study before a maximal exercise test, performed on the same day when logistically possible.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local ethics committee (Research and Ethics Committee reference: 14/YH/0126). All subjects gave informed consent.

Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Protocol
The CMR study was performed at rest and before exercise testing in athletes. All studies were performed on a 3-Tesla Achieva TX system equipped with a 32-channel cardiac phased array receiver coil and multitransmit technology (Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands). The cardiac long and short axes were determined using standard scout views. Mid-LV native (precontrast) T1 maps were generated using a previously described MOLLI sequence\(^7\) planned using the 3 of 5 views. Mid-LV native (precontrast) T1 maps were generated using the formulae:

\[
\lambda = \frac{R_1(\text{myo post}) - R_1(\text{myo pre})}{R_1(\text{blood post}) - R_1(\text{blood pre})}
\]

where \(R_1 = 1/T1\) and Hct is hematocrit.

CMR chamber and tissue values were indexed to body surface area and estimated lean body mass (height\(^2.7\)). Indexed myocyte and extracellular mass were calculated using the formulae: indexed myocyte mass= indexed LV mass/(100−%ECV); indexed extracellular mass=indexed LV mass×%ECV. (The standard deviation of ECV measurement in our center in a cohort of 30 healthy individuals was 2.8%). All T1, ECV, volumetric, and mass analyses were performed by 2 observers (A. K. McDiarmid and B. Erhayiem) blinded to all subject data, including sporting discipline and aerobic capacity.

Exercise Protocol
Participants were instructed to arrive rested (no strenuous exercise in the preceding 24 hours) and having abstained from any alcohol (preceding 24 hours), food, and caffeine (preceding 3 hours) ingestion. To determine maximal oxygen uptake (VO\(_{2\max}\)) and ventilatory threshold, participants undertook a ramp-incremental step-exercise test on an electronically braked cycle ergometer (Excalibur Sport, Lode BV).

Table 1. Subject Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Untrained Individuals (n=15)</th>
<th>All Athletes (n=30)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Low Performance (n=14)</th>
<th>High Performance (n=16)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>30.3±8.1</td>
<td>31.7±7.7</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>35.5±6.5</td>
<td>28.4±7.2</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>77.4±9.9</td>
<td>75.3±7.1</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>78.7±5.7</td>
<td>72.3±7.0</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>178.0±5.8</td>
<td>181.5±5.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>182.2±5.2</td>
<td>180.9±4.8</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m(^2)</td>
<td>24.4±2.5</td>
<td>22.8±1.9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>23.7±1.4</td>
<td>22.1±1.9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit, g/dL</td>
<td>0.46±0.3</td>
<td>0.46±0.03</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.46±0.03</td>
<td>0.46±0.02</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic</td>
<td>117.3±9.5</td>
<td>120.0±9.0</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>120.0±8.7</td>
<td>119.4±10.3</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic</td>
<td>61.9±10.8</td>
<td>70.3±9.9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>72.0±9.4</td>
<td>67.6±10.7</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disciplines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triathlon</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI indicates body mass index.
The test, the limit of tolerance was defined as the point at which cycling cadence fell below 50 rpm, despite strong verbal encouragement. In both RI and SE parts of exercise (SE) was performed at 95% of the RI work rate peak, with this then followed by 5 minutes of active recovery (20 W), after which a step period (≈2 minutes) and unloaded cycling (20 W; ≈4 minutes) and continued until a steady state was attained, after which work rate increased ≈15–20 s. Within subjects, the highest 12-breath rolling average from RI and SE phases were then compared using unpaired \( t \) tests, with no difference (\( P>0.05 \)) between RI and SE VO\(_{2\text{peak}}\). and thus the attainment of VO\(_{2\text{max}}\) was confirmed in each test.17

### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). Subjects were grouped as follows: untrained, athletes with VO\(_{2\text{peak}}<60\) mL/kg per min (low-performance group), and athletes with VO\(_{2\text{peak}}≥60\) mL/kg per min (high-performance group). Unless otherwise stated, the results are presented as mean±standard deviation (SD). Normality of distribution was determined with Kolmogorov–Smirnov testing. Differences between groups were assessed using the Chi-squared test, independent \( t \) test, or 1-way analysis of variance when appropriate. Post hoc analysis was performed with Bonferroni testing. Correlation was assessed with Spearman correlation coefficient. Significance for all tests was assumed with \( P<0.05 \).

### Results

#### Study Participant Demographics and Characteristics

Thirty endurance athletes (7 runners, 11 cyclists, and 12 triathletes) and 15 untrained sedentary controls were recruited. Athletic and untrained participants were prospectively matched for age (31.7±7.7 versus 30.3±8.1 years; \( P=0.54 \)). Hematocrit was not significantly different between groups (0.46±0.3 versus 0.46±0.3 g/dL; \( P=0.99 \)), but body mass index was significantly lower in athletes (22.8±1.9 versus 24.4±2.5 kg/m\(^2\); \( P=0.02 \)). CMR study and maximal exercise testing were separated by a median of 0 days (quartile range 1–3). Full study participant information may be seen in Table 1.

### Table 2. RISE Maximal Exercise Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low Performance (n=14)</th>
<th>High Performance (n=16)</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max power, W</td>
<td>377.3±53.8</td>
<td>394.4±58.5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting HR, bpm</td>
<td>54.9±5.2</td>
<td>53.8±7.8</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak HR, bpm</td>
<td>180.2±9.6</td>
<td>181.3±11.5</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔHR, bpm</td>
<td>116.4±34.5</td>
<td>127.4±8.9</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilatory threshold, % of VO(_{2\text{max}})</td>
<td>59.5±7.6</td>
<td>61.9±6.5</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO(_{2\text{max}}), mL/kg per min</td>
<td>54.2±4.5</td>
<td>65.5±6.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High- and low-performance groups defined as a VO\(_{2\text{max}}\) of more or less than 60 mL/kg per min. HR indicates heart rate; and RISE, ramp-incremental step-exercise.

Groningen, the Netherlands), which allows for confirmation of VO\(_{2\text{max}}\) in a single test.12 Participants wore a nose clip and breathed through a low-dead space, low-resistance mouthpiece which was connected to a bidirectional pitot tube flow sensor and gas sample line assembly, allowing for breath-by-breath measurement of gas volumes and concentrations (\( \text{O}_2 \), Galvanic; \( \text{CO}_2 \) infrared) and subsequent calculation of ventilatory and pulmonary gas exchange variables (Cardio2, Medical Graphics Corporation, St Paul, MN). Before each test, the pitot tube flow sensor was calibrated over a range of flow rates using a 3 L syringe, whereas the gas analysers were calibrated using precision gases that spanned the inspired and expired physiological range. A 12-lead ECG was monitored throughout, and heart rate was measured from the R-R interval. The ramp-incremental step-exercise test was preceded by a rest period (≈2 minutes) and unloaded cycling (20 W; ≈4 minutes) and continued until a steady state was attained, after which work rate increased as a linear function of time at a rate of 20 to 30 W/min (depending on reported training history), with the intention of bringing participants to a ventilatory threshold in ≤10 to 12 minutes.13 The ramp increment was then followed by 5 minutes of active recovery (20 W), after which a step exercise (SE) was performed at 95% of the RI work rate peak, with this SE also continued until the limit of tolerance in each test.12

Breath-by-breath data were edited using the VO\(_2\) response to the V\(_t\)o\(_2\) prediction limits that were considered unphysiological.14 Anaerobic threshold was then estimated using the V-slope method15 and supporting ventilatory and pulmonary gas exchange criteria (ie, the fractional end-tidal concentrations of \( \text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \), and the ventilatory equivalents for \( \text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \)). VO\(_{2\text{peak}}\) was identified in both RI and SE phases as the highest 12-breath rolling average (highest mean VO\(_2\) over ≈15–20 s).15 Within subjects, the highest 12-breath rolling average from RI and SE phases were then compared using unpaired \( t \) tests, with no difference (\( P>0.05 \)) between RI and SE VO\(_{2\text{peak}}\), and thus the attainment of VO\(_{2\text{max}}\) was confirmed in each test.17

### Figure 1. Myocardial remodeling by performance group. A, Cellular mass increases out of proportion to increase in extracellular mass. B, Indexed left ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV) and left ventricular (LV) mass increases stepwise as athletic grouping changes (\( P<0.001 \) for both).
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Performance Stratification and Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing

Athletes were divided into high- and low-performance groups at a threshold VO\textsubscript{2max} of 60 mL/kg per min (n=16 and 14, respectively). Mean VO\textsubscript{2max} and anaerobic threshold as a percentage of VO\textsubscript{2max} in high-performance and low-performance athletes, respectively, were 65.5±6.2 versus 54.2±4.5 mL/kg per min (P<0.001) and 61.9±6.5% versus 59.5±7.6% (P=0.36). No significant atrial or ventricular ectopy was recorded in the exercise or recovery phase of the exercise protocol. None of resting, peak, or Δheart rate differed between groups. Maximal exercise test results are summarized in Table 2.

Myocardial Remodeling

Indexed cellular mass was greater in high-performance athletes than in low-performance athletes (60.7±7.5 versus 48.6±6.3 g/m\textsuperscript{2}; P<0.001); however, extracellular mass remained constant (16.3±2.2 versus 15.3±2.2 g/m\textsuperscript{2}; P=0.20; Figure 1). Myocardial ECV and native T1 in athletes were lower than in untrained controls (22.5±2.6% versus 24.5±2.2%, P=0.02; 1178±32 versus 1202±33 ms, P=0.02). Although both the cellular and extracellular masses were higher in athletes than in controls (15.8±2.2 versus 13.6±1.7, P<0.001; 55.1±9.2 versus 42.3±5.7, P<0.001), the relative expansion of the cellular compartment was greater than the increase seen of the extracellular compartment (130% and 116%, respectively).

Chamber Remodeling in High-Performance and Low-Performance Athletes

Typical findings may be seen in Figure 2, and full CMR data are given in Table 3. Both left ventricular mass index and left ventricular end-diastolic volume index differed significantly between athletes and untrained controls and between athletic groups (P<0.001 for all; Figure 2). Significant correlations were observed between cardiac chamber remodeling and VO\textsubscript{2max}: both left ventricular mass index and left ventricular end-diastolic volume index correlated significantly with each other (r=0.71, P<0.001) and with VO\textsubscript{2max} (r=0.55, P=0.002 and r=0.45, P=0.01, respectively; Figure 3). In athletes, CMR measures of tissue composition (ECV and partition coefficient) differed between athletic groups and correlated significantly with VO\textsubscript{2max}: ECV r=-0.53, P=0.003; partition coefficient r=-0.41, P=0.02. Native T1 did not differ between athletic groups (low versus high performance; 1185±36 versus 1171±28 ms; P=0.26). LGE was seen in one athlete only (3%) and was in a typical myocarditis pattern. A significant inverse correlation was found between VO\textsubscript{2max} and native T1 (r=-0.58, P=0.002).
correlation was seen between ECV and left ventricular mass index ($r=-0.44$, $P=0.02$; Figure 3).

**Discussion**

This study shows for the first time that LV hypertrophy in AH occurs as a consequence of differences in the relative composition of myocardium and that athletic hypertrophy is driven by an expansion of the cellular compartment with a relative decrease of the extracellular compartment. Furthermore, we have provided evidence of a potential relationship between increasing fitness and expansion of the cellular compartment. This finding provides novel insight into the physiological change underpinning the poorly understood phenomenon of athletic LVH. We have further demonstrated that the extent of cellular volume expansion is linearly related to aerobic capacity in this cohort of endurance athletes.

**Mechanism of Myocardial Adaption and Remodeling**

Cardiovascular adaptation occurs in response to hemodynamic challenge and prolonged endurance training and even in previously untrained individuals leads to marked changes in cardiac geometry. It has been shown in previous longitudinal studies that early adaption is characterized by an increase in LV mass and as a consequence change in LV mass:volume ratio, later followed by LV dilatation, normalization of the ratio, and eccentric hypertrophy. LV geometry and particularly LV mass have previously been shown to be related to VO_{2max}. CMR has provided new insights into the mechanisms that underpin cardiac remodeling with exercise training. The multiparametric assessment of the human heart and high reproducibility provided by the technique allow both accurate functional and anatomic assessment. Native T1 and ECV measurement are robust and validated techniques for tissue characterization, and the correlation of ECV in particular with histological tissue specimens is excellent, though has not previously been applied to athletes with known VO_{2max}. ECV increases in myocardial fibrosis, edema, and expansion of the extracellular space, with subsequent relative decrease in myocyte mass. Conversely, an expanded cellular mass reduces ECV as the distribution volume for conventional extracellular contrast agents is reduced.

In this study, we have demonstrated that indexed LV mass is correlated with aerobic capacity and that indexed LV mass and ECV are inversely related. Participants with a higher VO_{2max} had a similar extracellular mass as those with a lower VO_{2max} but a significantly higher intracellular mass. These data allow postulation of the mechanism underlying the development of AH that is consistent with known concepts:

**Table 3. Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Findings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Untrained Individuals ($n=20$)</th>
<th>All Athletes ($n=30$)</th>
<th>$P$ Value</th>
<th>Low Performance ($n=14$)</th>
<th>High Performance ($n=16$)</th>
<th>$P$ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LVEDV, mL</td>
<td>195.1±26.0</td>
<td>229.7±30.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>222.0±29.3</td>
<td>236.4±31.4</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDVi, mL/m²</td>
<td>98.6±12.3</td>
<td>117.7±15.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>110.5±13.9</td>
<td>124.0±13.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDVi, LBM</td>
<td>37.5±10.4</td>
<td>45.9±6.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>43.9±5.0</td>
<td>47.8±6.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV SV, mL</td>
<td>109.5±15.2</td>
<td>128.1±19.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>123.4±17.1</td>
<td>132.2±20.3</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, %</td>
<td>56.4±5.2</td>
<td>55.8±4.8</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>55.7±5.0</td>
<td>55.9±4.7</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass, g</td>
<td>109.2±16.3</td>
<td>138.4±21.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>128.6±17.3</td>
<td>146.9±21.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass index, g/m²</td>
<td>55.9±6.7</td>
<td>70.9±10.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>63.9±7.5</td>
<td>77.0±9.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular, g/m²</td>
<td>13.6±1.7</td>
<td>15.8±2.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>15.3±2.2</td>
<td>16.3±2.2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular, g/m²</td>
<td>42.3±5.7</td>
<td>55.1±9.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>48.6±6.3</td>
<td>60.7±7.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVMI, g/m²</td>
<td>20.5±5.9</td>
<td>27.8±4.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>25.5±4.0</td>
<td>30.0±4.3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular, LBM</td>
<td>4.9±1.5</td>
<td>6.2±0.9</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>6.1±1.0</td>
<td>6.3±0.9</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular, LBM</td>
<td>15.6±4.6</td>
<td>21.6±3.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>19.5±3.3</td>
<td>23.4±3.5</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV volume:mass ratio</td>
<td>0.55±0.07</td>
<td>0.60±0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.58±0.08</td>
<td>0.62±0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVEDV, mL</td>
<td>218.7±30.5</td>
<td>236.7±36.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV EM, mL/m²</td>
<td>108.5±12.4</td>
<td>124.1±15.9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV EDV, LBM</td>
<td>43.2±5.2</td>
<td>47.8±7.0</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVEF, %</td>
<td>54.2±4.7</td>
<td>53.9±6.6</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECV indicates extracellular volume; LBM, lean body mass; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; LV, left ventricle; LVEDV, LV end-diastolic volume; LV EDV, LV end-diastolic volume index; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVMI, left ventricular mass index; RVEDV, right ventricular end-diastolic volume; RV EDV, right ventricular end-diastolic volume index; and SV, stroke volume.

*LGE seen in myocarditis pattern
After rapid division in fetal life, cardiac myocytes are terminally differentiated shortly after delivery. As a result, any increase in overall myocardial mass is secondary to myocyte hypertrophy or extracellular matrix expansion rather than cell hyperplasia. CMR allows in vivo quantification of the 2 tissue compartments, and our data show that in AH, the overall extracellular compartment volume is similar to previously reported normal ranges, although there is marked cellular expansion.

Important differential diagnoses of AH include dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM), which may both display increased LV mass or left ventricular end-diastolic volume. The role of CMR in the detection of these myocardial diseases is established, and both DCM and HCM display characteristic morphological abnormalities on CMR. At a microscopic level, HCM is characterized by myocyte disarray and interstitial fibrosis and, thus, higher ECV. LGE CMR allows detection of focal replacement fibrosis and infarction in both HCM and DCM; however, diffuse processes are poorly detected with this technique. T1 mapping and ECV measurement have shown expansion of the extracellular space in HCM, occurring in both hypertrophic and nonhypertrophic segments, as well as in DCM. Our observation that hypertrophy in AH is cellular without, or with relatively less, ECV expansion suggests that T1 mapping...
CMR may be used to distinguish between athletic LV adaptation and pathological hypertrophy or remodeling.

Both side-by-side sarcomere addition in concentric remodeling and end-to-end addition in eccentric remodeling result in myocardial hypertrophy, with an increase of muscle mass without increase in myocyte number. In this study, conducted without tissue biopsy, we are unable to determine the intracellular sarcomere arrangement; however, other CMR techniques that allow myocyte size to be quantified, as investigated by Coelho-Filho et al., may allow this to be determined in the future.

LGE and Myocardial Scar

LGE has previously been demonstrated in ≤13% of elite and 50% of veteran athletes. In this cohort of athletes, LGE was seen in only 3% (n=1). This difference may be as a consequence of the relatively young age of athletes studied.

Remodeling and Relationship With Performance

The relationship between aerobic capacity and LV remodeling is known. In this study, we have demonstrated that left ventricular end-diastolic volume index and left ventricular mass index correlate with \( \dot{V}_O_2_{max} \), confirming that the degree of remodeling is related to fitness, and our data are consistent with the literature.

Limitations

There was relatively little diversity in the range of athletic discipline pursued; however, this has previously been shown not to affect the phenotype of cardiac remodeling. Native T1 differed significantly between athletes and controls, but not athletic groups. Native T1 is increased in myocardial edema and inflammation, but also in increased extracellular volume; consequently, these findings are consistent with ECV in this study. The reason for a lack of difference between athletic groups is not clear, however, and merits further study. Furthermore, the assumptions made regarding the mode of myocardial hypertrophy in AH in this study have not been validated by histological sample. We made regarding the mode of myocardial hypertrophy in AH in this study have not been validated by histological sample. We

Conclusions

Cardiac remodeling and LVH in AH occurs as a consequence of greater myocyte mass, with an associated relative decrease of the extracellular space. This is unlike HCM or DCM, where CMR tissue characterization detects marked expansion of the extracellular space, in the presence or absence of LVH. Athletic remodeling, both on a macroscopic and microscopic level, is associated with the degree of aerobic capacity. ECV quantification with CMR may have a future role in differentiating AH from change secondary to cardiomyopathy, especially HCM, and warrants further study.
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**CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

The need for reliable methods to differentiate physiological and pathological left ventricular hypertrophy are brought into focus by the rare but prominent cases of sudden death in elite athletes and the young. However, the myocardial changes that occur in an athlete’s heart are poorly understood, and current assessment is dependent on a constellation of findings, but may be limited when significant abnormalities are not present. Consequently, differentiating between physiological and pathological left ventricular hypertrophy can be difficult in borderline cases. Cardiac magnetic resonance provides highly reproducible assessment of cardiac structure and function. The developing field of cardiac magnetic resonance T1 mapping is now allowing insights into tissue composition with quantification of the extracellular space, not previously possible with contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance. In this study, we have used T1 mapping to demonstrate that increased left ventricular mass in physiological hypertrophy is predominantly because of expansion of the cellular, rather than the extracellular compartment. Furthermore, the degree of myocardial adaptation and cellular expansion is related to aerobic capacity, with fitter individuals displaying more expansion of the cellular compartment. Relatively lower extracellular volume is a crucial difference from many cardiomyopathies that are characterized by myocardial fibrosis and expansion of the extracellular space. The relatively lower extracellular volume in physiological left ventricular hypertrophy may provide a foundation for future studies to test whether extracellular volume quantification can differentiate the underlying nature of left ventricular hypertrophy.
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