Patient-Specific Quantitation of Mitral Valve Strain by Computer Analysis of Three-Dimensional Echocardiography
A Pilot Study
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Background—A paucity of data exists on mitral valve (MV) deformation during the cardiac cycle in man. Real-time 3-dimensional (3D) echocardiography now allows dynamic volumetric imaging of the MV, thus enabling computerized modeling of MV function directly in health and disease.

Methods and Results—MV imaging using 3D transesophageal echocardiography was performed in 10 normal subjects and 10 patients with moderate-to-severe or severe organic mitral regurgitation. Using proprietary 3D software, patient-specific models of the mitral annulus and leaflets were computed at mid- and end-systole. Strain analysis of leaflet deformation was derived from these models. In normals, mean strain intensity averaged 0.11±0.02 and was higher in the posterior leaflet than in the anterior leaflet (0.13±0.03 versus 0.10±0.02; P<0.05). Mean strain intensity was higher in patients with mitral regurgitation (0.15±0.03) than in normals (0.11±0.02; P=0.05). Higher mean strain intensity was noted for the posterior leaflet in both normal and organic valves. Regional valve analysis revealed that both anterior and posterior leaflets have the highest strain concentration in the commissural zone, and the boundary zone near the annulus and at the coaptation line, with reduced strain concentration in the central leaflet zone.

Conclusions—In normals, MV strain is higher in the posterior leaflet, with the highest strain at the commissures, annulus, and coaptation zones. Patients with organic mitral regurgitation have higher strain than normals. Three-dimensional echocardiography allows noninvasive and patient-specific quantitation of strain intensities because of MV deformations and has the potential to improve noninvasive characterization and follow-up of MV disease. (Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2016;9:e003254. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.115.003254.)
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as potential sources of emboli or fever, and patients with organic moderate-to-severe or severe MR undergoing transesophageal echocardiography before MV repair. MR severity was graded using the integrative and quantitative approach of the American Society of Echocardiography. Patients with arrhythmias or poor images were excluded. The study was approved by the human research review board of Houston Methodist Hospital. All patients provided written informed consent.

Three-Dimensional Echocardiography Protocol

Three-dimensional transesophageal echocardiographic imaging was performed using an IE-33 ultrasound system (Philips, Andover, MA) with X7-2t probe. The imaging protocol included a midesophageal, full volume acquisition, concentrating on the MV apparatus and aiming at visualizing the mitral annulus throughout the cardiac cycle, adjusting settings to maximize frame rate. The 3D images were digitally stored and analyzed at a later time.

Patient-Specific MV Modeling

Patient-specific MV modeling was described in more details in our 2 previous technical articles. To summarize, using Slicer 3D software, the mitral annulus and leaflets were tagged on the 3D echo images at both mid- and end-systole by an expert echocardiographer (S.B.Z.). End-systole was defined as the last frame when the aortic valve was open, and end-diastole as the last frame when the MV was open. Several variants of Bi-Cubic Splines (B-splines) fitting were then applied (using MATLAB procedures) to compute patient-specific static models of mitral leaflets, annulus, and coaptation line (Figure 1). All static mitral leaflets models were then discretized into point meshes of 1500 to 3000 points (∼0.5-mm mesh size). Similarly, all our patient-specific mitral annulus models were discretized into meshes of 300 to 500 points.

MV Dynamic Tracking

Automated registration of 3D echocardiographic image sequences is crucial to quantify cardiac deformations and to compute mitral leaflets strain. MV apparatus deformations were modeled by diffeomorphisms of $R^3$, which are arbitrary invertible and continuously differentiable 3D spatial deformations. This diffeomorphic registration technique, as detailed in our previous articles, is similar to the powerful computational anatomy methods introduced to register 3D images of brains and analogous diffeomorphic approaches have been successfully used to reconstruct 3D deformations of heart muscle or heart chambers. The key registration principle is the computerized minimization of a cost function, controlling deformation velocities at several thousand points of the MV leaflets. This cost function simultaneously penalizes undesirable deformations features such as high kinetic energies and strong geometric registration errors, as well as high disparities of image voxels intensities along deformation trajectories. Cost minimizing MV deformations are then automatically forced to smoothly match all the intermediary MV snapshots captured in 3D by the successive echocardiographic frames. This computerized approach successfully reconstructed and tracked patient-specific detailed MV deformations for all patients studied. A dynamic example of MV deformation tracking is shown in the clip; see also an example of deformation tracking for an anterior leaflet (AL) in Figure 2 and Supplemental Digital Content.

Strain Computation

For each patient, the strain tensors at several thousands of MV leaflets points were then derived from the computer-reconstructed patient-specific MV deformations between mid- and end-systole. Our mathematical computation of the strain tensor does not require any assumption on tissue elasticity. Each MV apparatus deformation model generates the dynamic trajectories of several thousands of leaflets points $x$ between the times of midsystole and end-systole. For each initial leaflet point $x$, and any small tissue patch $P(x)$ around $x$, the computed deformation of $P(x)$ between midsystole and end-systole approximately multiplies small lengths by a computable dimensionless factor $g(x)>0$, called the geometric strain at $x$. Tissue deformations around $x$ are dilating if $g(x)>1$, and contracting if $g(x)<1$. The leaflet strain intensity $SI(x)$ at $x$ is then defined as the magnitude of length dilation (or contraction) around $x$, given by $SI(x) = |g(x) - 1|$. Strain intensity $SI(x)$ is computed at several thousand points $x$ of each leaflet to characterize the distribution of SI values on the leaflet surface. These strain maps were then displayed on each patient-specific model. Mean strain values for normal and organic MV as well as mean strain for anterior and posterior leaflets (PL) were computed. Figure 3 displays examples of strain maps for 1 normal and 1 organic valve.

Leaflet Geometric Zones

To study the patient-specific localization of higher strain areas on both the anterior and the PLs, we defined 8 geometric regions of interest for each leaflet, based on the computer-reconstructed patient’s leaflet shape at midsystole. Figure 4 displays these 8 geometric zones for the PL of 1 patient. The 3 scallops $Sc_1, Sc_2$, and $Sc_3$ were identified at both midsystole and end-systole by 2 cardiology experts, tagging points along the annulus and the coaptation line (S.B.Z. and S.L.) to pin down the 2 boundaries separating the 3 scallops. A computer treatment based on this tagging then generated the scallops $Sc_1, Sc_2$, and $Sc_3$ with areas, respectively, equal to 25%, 50%, and 25%, with error margins of 2%.

The remaining 5 zones were constructed as follows. The leaflet zone adjacent to the annulus $Z_{ann}$ and the coaptation zone $Z_{coap}$ were defined as all leaflet points within a short distance of the annulus or coaptation line so that the areas of $Z_{ann}$ and $Z_{coap}$ were both equal to 20% of total leaflet area (Figure 4). The boundary zone of the leaflet, $Z_{bound}$, was defined as the sum of $Z_{ann}$ and $Z_{coap}$. The center zone $Z_{center}$ is the leaflet area excluding the boundary zone. Finally, a commissure
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Figure 1. Two patient-specific static mitral valve models: normal patient (A) and organic mitral regurgitation patient (B), both at midsystole. Color scheme: Mitral annulus in gold, anterior leaflet in green, and posterior leaflet in blue.
zone, $Z_{comm}$, was the area of leaflets at the 2 commissures extending to the annulus and was set at 8% of total leaflet area (Figure 4). All these geometric zones were computed at midsystole only because the corresponding regional mean strain intensities were also computed and displayed only at midsystole.

**High Strain Concentration**

To highlight the localization and extent of high strain (HS) in various zones, a HS concentration $HSC(Z)$ was computed for each one of the leaflet geometric zones. The HS points of the mitral leaflet were defined as all leaflet points, where SI was larger than the patient-specific 75th percentile of strain intensities. Within any leaflet, the HS area is then always equal to 25% of total leaflet area. For each leaflet zone $Z$, we computed its HSC $HSC(Z)$ as the ratio of the area of HS within $Z$ to the total area of $Z$, so that $HSC(Z) = \frac{\text{area (HS}}{\text{area (Z)}}$, and is always <1. For randomly selected large zones, $HSC(Z)$ is typically close to 0.25, so that values of $HSC(Z) > 0.35$ indicate strong concentrations of HS points within the zone $Z$.

**Reproducibility**

Reproducibility of global and regional strain and HSC was performed after randomly perturbing the tagging points on the MV in normal and organic MR valves and applying the computer algorithm for quantitation of strain globally and regionally as described above. Absolute difference, mean, and percent change in strain and HSC were computed.

**Statistical Analysis**

Patient group averages for patients’ demographic data, MV geometric variables, MV leaflets strain intensities, regional strain concentrations are expressed as means±SE. Differences in means (normal versus organic, midsystole versus end-systole, and anterior versus posterior) were tested as follows and considered significant for $P<0.05$. Within the same group of 10 patients, comparing the mean values of any MV geometric variable or strain requires the elimination of patient effects. This was achieved by implementing linear models with mixed random effects and computing the associated $P$ value. This was applied to comparisons within same group of variables at midsystole versus end-systole, anterior versus PLs, and mean HS concentrations HSC between different leaflet zones. To compare mean values of variables between the distinct groups of organic MR and normals, patient effects become irrelevant and hence standard $t$ test was used in these instances.

For each leaflet and each patient, strain intensities were computed at several thousand leaflet points, and the distribution of these strain intensities was then characterized by its percentiles $Q(5\%), Q(10\%), \ldots, Q(95\%)$, which were also displayed graphically as percentile curves. To compare strain distributions across patients, we have

---

**Figure 2.** Patient-specific algorithmic tracking of anterior leaflet dynamic deformations for a regurgitation case. Only a few of the several thousand reconstructed deformation trajectories are shown (in blue) to facilitate visualization.

**Figure 3.** Patient-specific mitral leaflets strain intensities displayed at midsystole for a typical normal mitral valve (A) and for a typical organic valve with mitral regurgitation valve (B). The strain intensities color code range from dark orange for high strain to dark blue for low strain.
systematically compared the corresponding percentiles curves. Given
2 large data sets \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N\} and \{Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_M\}, with N,
M>1000, one classically says\(^2\) that the X values are stochastically
smaller than the Y values if each percentile \(Q_X(z\%)\) of the X val-
ues, for every percentage 0%<z%<100%, is inferior or equal to the
corresponding percentile \(Q_Y(z\%)\) of the Y values. This can be veri-
Fied visually by plotting the 2 percentile curves \(Q_X\) and \(Q_Y\) to check
whether the curve \(Q_X\) indeed lies below the curve \(Q_Y\). The reliability
of the statement X is stochastically smaller than Y is evaluated by its
\(P\) value, computed by a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (K–S test) and is
considered significant if its \(P<0.05\).

Results
The study population included 10 patients with a normal heart
and MV and 10 patients with moderate-to-severe or severe
organic MR (Table 1). Patients with MR had larger left ven-
tricular volumes, similar ejection fraction, and lower systolic
blood pressure than the normal group. Among the 10 patients
with organic MR, there were 5 cases of flail P2, 1 case of (flail
P2+P1 prolapse), 1 case of (flail P2+P3 prolapse), and 1 case
of (flail P2+A2 prolapse).

MV Geometry
Patients with organic MR had enlarged mitral annulus, annu-
lus circumference, and anterior-posterior diameter, whereas
the annulus height was reduced (Table 2). Both groups showed
annulus dynamicity from mid- to end-systole where the annu-
lus was more flattened and enlarged at end-systole (Table 2).
AL area was comparable in the 2 groups. As expected, the PL
was larger in the organic group where the ratio of leaflet area
(posterior versus anterior) was significantly higher than for
normals at both mid- and end-systole (Table 2).

Mitral Leaflet Strain

Global Strain
Mean strain intensities for the whole MV as well as its AL
and PL in normal and patients with organic MR are shown in
Figure 5. All results in this paragraph were statistically sig-
nificant (\(P<0.05\)). Group average of mean SI was higher in organic MR leaflets than in normals, for whole valves (0.15±0.01 versus 0.11±0.01), for PL
(0.19±0.016 versus 0.13±0.01), and for AL (0.13±0.01 versus
0.10±0.01). Group averages of strain values distributions for
AL and PL leaflets were stochastically higher for organic MR
than for normals (Figure 6; K–S test, \(P<0.05\)).

Regional Strain
The regional analysis of HSC in the 8 zones of MV leaflets is
summarized in Table 3. Each MV leaflet (normals and organic
MR) showed HSC to be highest for the commissural zone
and lowest for the center zone. In general, HSC(\(Z_{\text{bound}}\)) for the
boundary zone comprising narrow bands along the annulus
and coaptation lines had intermediate values, higher than HSC
(\(Z_{\text{center}}\)) and lower than HSC (\(Z_{\text{comm}}\)). For 19 of the 20 patients,
elevated HSC were seen in the commissures as well as along
the annulus and coaptation line, whereas the leaflet center had
low HSC (Figure 7).

For each one of the 8 zones, the group averages of HSC(Z)
did not significantly differ between normals and organic MR
patients. For the 3 valve scallops, in both groups of patients,
the highest strain concentration was seen in Sc1 and were ele-
vated in both AL and PL. Lower values were seen in Sc2 and
Sc3 (Table 3).

Reproducibility
Global strain ranged between 0.12 and 0.23 in the retested
patients. The difference in global MV strain after retesting
ranged between 0.002 and 0.022, mean of 0.04. Mean percent
change in global strain was 5% (range, 5%–10%). Regional
strain analysis yielded a mean percent change of 8% (range,
0.0%–13.5%). For HSC variability, mean % difference was
4% (range, 2%–6%).

Discussion
Three-dimensional transesophageal echocardiography
allowed a study of MV deformation in healthy and organic
values. Patient-specific MV models were computer generated,
and strain distributions were derived algorithmically, allowing
comparative analysis of global and regional strain. Patients
Table 1. Mean Patients Data for the Normal and the Organic MR Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Normal Group</th>
<th>Organic MR Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>55±1.9</td>
<td>64±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of male patients</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA, m²</td>
<td>1.93±0.1</td>
<td>2.1±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>136±8.5</td>
<td>109±6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>74±6</td>
<td>61±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td>70±4.1</td>
<td>69±3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular ejection fraction, %</td>
<td>65±1.6</td>
<td>65±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of imaging frames/cardiac cycle</td>
<td>24±2</td>
<td>23±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricle end-diastolic volume, mL</td>
<td>124±9.2</td>
<td>196±12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricle end-systolic volume, mL</td>
<td>48±4.1</td>
<td>74±1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regurgitation volume, mL</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>63.8±9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective regurgitation orifice area, cm²</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.4±0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BSA indicates body surface area; and MR, mitral regurgitation.
*Mean value for organic MR group is larger than for Normal group with \( P<0.05 \)

Table 2. Comparison of MV Geometric Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometric Variables</th>
<th>Normal Group</th>
<th>Organic MR Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MV annulus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area in projection, cm²</td>
<td>10.4±0.7</td>
<td>11.8±0.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumference, mm</td>
<td>129±4.6</td>
<td>132.2±4.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, mm</td>
<td>13.6±0.5</td>
<td>11.7±0.7†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior-posterior diameter, mm</td>
<td>36.7±1.3</td>
<td>39.7±1.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV leaflets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL area, mm²</td>
<td>647±28</td>
<td>667±85*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL area, mm²</td>
<td>643±52</td>
<td>710±183*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio (PL area/AL area)</td>
<td>0.98±0.06</td>
<td>1.05±0.06*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AL indicates anterior leaflet; MR, mitral regurgitation; MV, mitral valve; and PL, posterior leaflet.
*Mean value at end-systole>mean value at midsystole, \( P<0.05 \).
†Mean value for organic MR>mean value for normal, \( P<0.05 \).
‡Mean value at end-systole<mean value at midsystole, \( P<0.05 \).
Mitral Valve Strain Analysis

Elasticity models for human MVs relies on stress measurements made in vivo on animal models. Our approach was hence much easier to compute than the analogous stress values. Moreover, the comparison of strain intensities across different patients is robust to reconstruction errors. Because these comparisons rely on percentile curves computed from ≈3000 strain values for each leaflet, the error on any strain percentile is 25 to 50 times smaller than the errors affecting individual strain values.

Strain in Normal MV and Organic MV Regurgitation

**Global MV Strain**

In normal MV, strain in the PL was higher than in the AL and was maintained in organic MV. This finding may be because of the lesser tensile strength at pathology and is of interest clinically as most mitral annular calcifications occur in the posterior mitral annulus, invoking higher strain in this region as a possible contributing mechanism for posterior annular calcifications in the aging MV. In general, strain for organic valves was higher than for normal valves, globally and in each valve leaflet. This was seen despite a lower systolic blood pressure in the group of organic valves, implying that this difference in strain is likely to be higher if blood pressure was similar.

The organic MVs had, as expected, a larger annulus and PL size than normals. The higher systolic deformation in organic MV could be explained, in part, by these geometric differences and, in addition, by alterations to the saddle shape of the annulus. Finally, the different cellular and structural composition of organic valves cannot be ignored. In myxomatous valves, the normally collagen-rich valvular fibrosa becomes infiltrated by proteoglycans and an abundance of

**Figure 5.** Mean strain values for the whole mitral valve (MV) as well as for the anterior and posterior leaflets in normals when compared with patients with organic mitral regurgitation. Mean and SE bars are shown.

**Figure 6.** Mean strain percentile curves and respective 95% confidence limits in anterior and posterior leaflets of normal mitral valves (MVs) and organic mitral regurgitation. Strain values are higher in organic mitral regurgitation (MR) than in normal valves.
matrix-degrading enzymes, leading to thickening and weakening of valve tissue.36–38 Transforming growth factor-β, decorin, and fibrillin have also been implicated in pathological extracellular matrix remodeling leading to myxomatous valve disease, which undoubtedly contribute to alterations in valve deformation properties.38,39

Regional Strain
Regional analysis of HSC revealed variable patterns: the highest mean HSC was noted in the area of the commissures and in Sc1, followed by the boundary zone, whereas the lowest values were seen in the center zone (Table 3; Figure 7). In general, any leaflet edge presented higher deformation, and hence higher strain values, consistent with observations in sheep.9 The strain in the coaptation zone is likely influenced by annular dynamics, the pressure gradient across the valve, as well as papillary muscle function and chordal stress. In organic MVs, alterations in tissue properties and valve/annular size are likely contributors to the changes in strain, which are consistent with previous simulation studies.10,13

Limitations
The population involved small patient groups, limited by the complexity of the patient-specific dynamic MV modeling and the intricacy of the strain computation. To our knowledge, this is the largest human MV dynamic modeling study. Milder MR in organic MV was not evaluated but is planned. We studied only systolic deformation and chose mid-systole, where highest deformation and pressure occurs, and end-systole where deformation is much less. The 3D echocardiograms are gated studies; however, we chose only high-quality images with minimal stitch artifacts.

Table 3. Comparison of Mean Regional HSC for 8 Zones of the Mitral Valve Leaflets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leaflet Zones</th>
<th>Normal Group (n=10)</th>
<th>Organic MR Group (n=10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior Leaflet</td>
<td>Posterior Leaflet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_commissural</td>
<td>0.44±0.06*</td>
<td>0.37±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_annulus</td>
<td>0.36±0.02</td>
<td>0.31±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_coaptation</td>
<td>0.35±0.03</td>
<td>0.32±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_boundary</td>
<td>0.35±0.01</td>
<td>0.31±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_center</td>
<td>0.19±0.01†</td>
<td>0.21±0.01†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc1</td>
<td>0.42±0.04§</td>
<td>0.35±0.04§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc2</td>
<td>0.14±0.02</td>
<td>0.23±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc3</td>
<td>0.29±0.02</td>
<td>0.18±0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HSC indicates high strain concentration; Z_ann, annular boundary zone; Z_bear, whole boundary zone; Z_center, central zone; Z_coapt, coaptation boundary zone; and Sc1, Sc2, and Sc3, mitral valve scallops.
*HSC(Z_commissural)>HSC(Z_annulus) (P<0.05).
†HSC(Z_center)<HSC(Z_coapt), HSC(Z_annulus), HSC(Z_bear), and HSC(Z_commissural) (each, P<0.05).
‡HSC(Sc1)>HSC(Sc3) (P<0.05).
§HSC(Sc1)>HSC(Sc2) (P<0.05).
¶HSC(Sc3)<HSC(Sc1) (P<0.05).

A reduced HSC was noted in the Sc2 of the AL for both patient groups. This may be explained, in part, by the fact that the Sc2 of the AL is attached to the aortic-mitral continuity and is characterized by fibrotic tissue, which probably is more resistant to deformation. The higher HSC in the posterior Sc2 seen in both normal valves and in organic MR raise the possibility of contribution of strain to the pathophysiology of prolapse and flail PLS—clinical entities that are more prevalent in this MV region.

Figure 7. Example of high strain concentration (HSC) for normal valve (left) and organic valve (right). The points with strain intensities higher than the 75th percentile of strain values are shown in black. Z_commissural is red, Z_coaptation is blue, Z_annulus is yellow, and Z_center is green. Note the presence of HSC around the annulus, in the commissure and coaptation zones.
Conclusion and Clinical Implications

Computerized analysis of 3D echocardiography allowed in vivo, patient-specific quantification of MV strain intensities in normals and patients with organic MR. Patients with organic MV had increased strain intensities when compared with normals, globally as well as in the AL and PL. Higher strain concentrations were systematically noted near the commissures and leaflets boundary zones, whereas lower strain values were observed in the center zone. Our approach could provide new evaluation tools for quantification of global and regional SI distributions in vivo, which may have important implications in further understanding MV disease pathophysiology and progression, and in comparative investigations of surgical techniques involved in MV repair that aim at restoring MV geometry and stress and strain reduction for possible improved durability.
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### CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE

A paucity of data exists on mitral valve (MV) deformation during the cardiac cycle in man. Three-dimensional (3D) echocardiography now allows dynamic volumetric imaging of the MV apparatus, thus enabling computerized modeling of MV function in health and disease. In this investigation, computerized analysis of 3D echocardiography allowed in vivo, patient-specific quantification of MV strain intensities in normals and in patients with organic MV disease with significant mitral regurgitation. Patients with organic mitral valve disease had increased strain intensities when compared with normals, globally as well as in the anterior and posterior leaflets. The posterior leaflet exhibited higher strain values than anterior leaflets in both normals and organic valves. Higher strain concentrations were systematically noted near the commissures and leaflet boundary zones, whereas lower strain values were noted in the center zone. Higher strain values in the posterior leaflet and its border zones may help explain the higher prevalence of localization of MV annular calcifications to the posterior annulus and the higher prevalence of flail posterior leaflet. Quantification of global and regional strain intensity distribution in vivo may have important implications in further understanding MV disease pathophysiology and progression, and in comparative investigations of surgical techniques involved in MV repair that aim at restoring MV geometry and stress and strain reduction for possible improved durability. Our approach could provide new evaluation tools for noninvasive and patient-specific comparisons between pre- and postmitral valve surgery, as well as for quantitative follow-up of disease evolution in patients with MV pathology.
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**Video:** Example of mitral valve tracking and strain intensities displays:

**Beginning (0s - 11s)** -- Multiple views of static model (Anterior and posterior leaflet) of a patient with organic MV at mid-systole.

**Middle (11s - 32s)** -- Deformation of model between mid-systole and end-systole. The deformation is shown multiple times (forward and reverse) from multiple angles.

**End (33s - 47s)** -- Strain Intensity (SI) level lines displayed on snapshot static model at mid-systole. These strain intensities are for the mid-systole to end-systole deformation just shown. High SI is in red/orange, while low SI is in deep blue. Notice the high SI at coaptation, commissures, and along the annulus boundary.