Carotid Plaque Inflammation Is Associated With Cerebral Microembolism in Patients With Recent Transient Ischemic Attack or Stroke
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Background—Cerebral infarcts distal to carotid stenoses are thought to be caused by emboli from inflamed, destabilized plaques. We hypothesized that microembolic signals (MES) on transcranial Doppler will be associated with carotid plaque inflammation on 18F fluorodeoxyglucose positron-emission tomography (FDG PET) in recently symptomatic patients.

Methods and Results—Sixteen patients presenting with recent (47 ± 31 days) anterior circulation transient ischemic attack or minor stroke and 50% to 99% stenosis of the ipsilateral carotid bifurcation underwent FDG PET, high-resolution black-blood carotid MRI, and transcranial Doppler for detection of MES. Patients with potential cardiac sources of emboli or contralateral MES were excluded. Regions of interest defined on the coregistered MRI were used to measure FDG standardized uptake values (with Rousset partial volume correction) from the index and contralateral carotid plaques and artery. Ipsilateral MES were detected in 7 patients (MES+ group) and absent in 8 (MES− group). There was a significant difference in index-to-contralateral plaque standardized uptake value ratio between MES+ (median, 1.05; first to third quartile, 0.96 to 1.32) and MES− (median, 0.76; first to third quartile, 0.62 to 0.94) patients (P=0.005). The interval from symptom onset to PET and percent index carotid stenosis were not different between the 2 groups (P=0.68 and P=0.48, respectively).

Conclusions—In this sample of recently symptomatic patients with carotid stenosis, an association was found between in vivo measures of plaque inflammation detected by FDG PET and the presence of transcranial Doppler MES. These findings strengthen the notion that embolic events distal to carotid stenoses are related to plaque inflammation, and FDG PET may be useful in the investigation of culprit carotid lesions. (Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2010;3:536-541.)
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Inflammation is a component of all forms of atheromatous plaques1 and plays a key role in the destabilization of vulnerable plaques, with consequent thrombosis and distal thromboembolism.2 Studies on carotid endarterectomy samples from symptomatic patients show an association between embolic cerebral events and active plaques with a high inflammatory infiltrate3 and, moreover, an association of large macrophage-rich areas within such plaques and microembolic signals (MES) detected on transcranial Doppler (TCD) before surgery.4 To date, however, these relationships have not been measured in vivo. We and others have shown that 18F fluorodeoxyglucose positron-emission tomography (FDG PET) can be used reproducibly5–7 for noninvasive detection of atherosclerotic inflammatory activity,6,8–10 specifically of plaque macrophages. In the present study, it was hypothesized that higher in vivo carotid plaque inflammatory activity reflected by FDG PET is associated with TCD MES in patients with carotid disease with recent transient ischemic attack (TIA) and minor stroke.

Methods

Patients
All patients recruited were aged >40 years, symptomatic within the preceding 3 months with amaurosis fugax, hemispheric TIA, or minor stroke clinically localized to the carotid territory. Patients had ipsilateral internal carotid artery stenosis of >50% by North Amer-
ican Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial criteria on carotid duplex ultrasonography. The percent stenosis was determined as the median of the range provided by ultrasonography. Patients were excluded if they were claustrophobic or had other contraindications to MRI, were on anticoagulants (although single antplatelet therapy was not a cause of exclusion because it does not significantly reduce the incidence of MES), had an inadequate temporal bone window for TCD, had a concomitant potential cardiac source of embolism established clinically or on routine investigations (eg, atrial fibrillation, recent myocardial infarction), were women of childbearing age, or had a history of major brain insult or stroke.

TCD Ultrasonography

MES in the middle cerebral arteries were detected using a pulsed Doppler device (DWL Doppler box/DWL mulitidop X4, 2 MHz probe) equipped with software for microemboli detection for 1 hour at 2 different isonsonation depths on the same day as PET. When feasible, the TCD recording was repeated on separate days to take into account the temporal variability of MES.13,14 The recordings were witnessed, and all events and potential sources of artifacts were noted. Moreover, recordings were saved on disk for retrospective evaluation. The identification of MES was done in agreement with international recommendations13,14 and performed both on and off line. Accepted MES were unidirectional from the baseline, lasted 0.3 seconds, and had an intensity at least 7 dB higher than that of the background flow signal. In addition, MES were associated with a characteristic chirping sound on the audible output. A finding of MES in both middle cerebral arteries during the same recording session was considered reason for secondary exclusion of patients because it suggested a noncarotid source.

PET Imaging of the carotid arteries was done on a General Electric PET scanner using dynamic scanning in 3D mode for 75 minutes (10×5 seconds, 7×10 seconds, 4×15 seconds, 6×20 seconds, 10×30 seconds, 5×1 minute, 5×2 minutes, 10×5 minutes) immediately following injection of 185 MBq of FDG (injected over 30 seconds). A transmission scan (15 minutes) was acquired immediately before the neck FDG scan using rotating germanium-68 rod sources to allow measured attenuation correction. All images were reconstructed using the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System 3D filtered back-projection algorithm,15 with corrections applied for attenuation, isotope decay, dead time, normalization, scatter, sensitivity, and random coincidences (128×128×35 array; voxel size, 2.34×2.34×4.25 mm).

MRI Scanning of the neck was done on a 1.5-T Signa Excite system equipped with a custom-designed 4-channel phased-array surface coil wrapped around the neck and secured by a soft cervical collar. Axial 2D time-of-flight magnetic resonance angiography was performed to identify the location of the carotid bifurcation and site of stenosis and was used to plan axial plaque imaging through the common carotid artery, bifurcation, and internal carotid artery and external carotid artery at least one slice above and below the identified site of stenosis. The following 2D, ECG-gated, blood-suppressed, fast spin echo pulse sequences were used for high-resolution MRI of the carotid plaques: intermediate T2W (repetition time, 2×R-R wave interval; effective echo time, 38.5 milliseconds [ms]; echo train length, 24); short TI inversion-recovery (repetition time, 2×R-R wave interval; effective echo time, 38.5 ms; TI, 150; echo train length, 24); and T1W with fat saturation (repetition time, 1×R-R wave interval; effective echo time, 7.7 ms; echo train length, 12). The transaxial field of view was 10 cm, with a matrix size of 256×256, resulting in a voxel size of 0.39×0.39×3 mm for all 3 sequences.

To facilitate coregistration of PET to high-resolution MRI, 3D fast imaging employing steady-state acquisition sequence (repetition time, 2.5; fast response echo time, 0.9 ms; field of view, 192×192 mm; matrix, 128×128; 256 slices; slice thickness, 1.5 mm; number of excitations, 2) was used to acquire a wide-coverage image extending from the base of the skull to the midthorax without moving the patient, using the MRI system’s built-in body coil.

Image Coregistration

The fast imaging employing steady-state acquisition MRIs were automatically coregistered with the high-resolution MRI using the normalized mutual information algorithm implemented in the Visualization Toolkit Computational Imaging Science Group Registration Toolkit. For each PET scan, a mean PET image was coregistered (rigid transformation) to the fast imaging employing steady-state acquisition MRI with the Max-Planck-Institute Tool software package using anatomic landmarks present on both scans, such as spinal cord, submandibular glands, and mandible. Based on the position of the artery lumens in the high-resolution MRI and the coregistered PET images, the coregistration accuracy in the region around the carotid artery was found to be ~1.2 mm.

Image Analysis

We used a standardized uptake value (SUV) to obtain a quantitative measure of FDG uptake because it is the most widely used measure in the literature.2,6,13,15 It has been shown to correlate well with more-specific quantitative measures of the FDG accumulation influx rate (Ki), and it is highly reproducible in the carotid arteries.6

Regions of interest were defined on the high-resolution MRI for the carotid artery ipsilateral to the symptomatic hemisphere (index carotid) and the contralateral carotid artery and transferred onto the coregistered mean PET images (last 4 frames). To correct for partial volume effects, regions of interest also were defined around all anatomic structures within a 20-mm radius (3×full width at half maximum of the scanner resolution) of each carotid artery (Figure 1), and using in-house implementation of the geometric transfer matrix MR-based method, first described by Rouset et al,16 mean partial volume-corrected SUV was calculated. An index-to-contralateral carotid plaque partial volume-corrected SUV ratio (ICR) was calculated for each patient and used in the analyses to address inflammation in the index carotid relative to a comparable arterial site (see Discussion section). Additionally, a plaque-to-jugular venous blood SUV ratio was calculated.

Statistical Analysis

Analysis was done with SPSS version 15 for Windows. The Mann–Whitney U test (independent samples) or the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (paired samples) was used to compare continuous variables, including ICR, between patient groups. Values are reported as median and first to third quartile. A P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant for all tests.

Results

General Characteristics

Sixteen patients were recruited and completed the study protocol (Table). The age of the patients was 70.5 years (range, 47.5 to 74.8 years). Fourteen (87.5%) patients were men, 12 (75%) had hypertension, 1 was diabetic (but was normoglycemic at time of scan), 9 (56%) had a history of hypercholesterolemia, 1 had a history of ischemic heart disease, and 10 (63%) were smokers or ex-smokers.

The degree of lumen stenosis on duplex ultrasonography in the index carotid artery and the contralateral carotid artery was 69.8% (50% to 87.5%) and 0% (0% to 15%), respectively (P < 0.001). The time interval between onset of symptoms and PET scanning was 46 days (15.8 to 80.5 days). Eleven patients eventually had carotid endarterectomy (n = 9) or carotid stenting (n = 2) after completing the study. The remaining patients were maintained on medical treatment. Fourteen (88%) patients were on statins at the time of PET scanning.
FDG ICR and TCD MES

Results of TCD are shown in Table. In 1 patient, the TCD recording quality was poor because of motion and coughing artifact, so the data from this patient were not used. MES were detected on TCD in the middle cerebral artery corresponding to the index carotid artery in 7 patients (MES+/H11001 group) and were absent in 8 (MES-/H11002 group). The ICR was significantly higher in MES+ patients (1.05; 0.96 to 1.32) than in MES− patients (0.76; 0.62 to 0.94; P=0.005) (Figures 2 and 3). Plaque-to-blood SUV ratio was also higher

Table. Summary of Clinical Characteristics and FDG PET Measurements for All the Study Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Clinical Presentation</th>
<th>% Stenosis*</th>
<th>Medications at Time of Scans†</th>
<th>TCD MES</th>
<th>Onset to PET</th>
<th>Index SUVmax</th>
<th>ICR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>L amaurosis fugax</td>
<td>L ICA, 70%–80%; R ICA, 60%–70%</td>
<td>Clopidogrel 75 mg, simvastatin 40 mg</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3 wk</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>L hemispheric TIA</td>
<td>L ICA, 50%–60%</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg, simvastatin 40 mg, telmisartan 40 mg</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8 wk</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>R hemispheric Stroke</td>
<td>R ICA, 50%; L ICA, &lt;30%</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg, simvastatin 20 mg</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 wk</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>R hemispheric TIA</td>
<td>R ICA, 90%; minor plaque in L ICA</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg, clopidogrel 75 mg, simvastatin 40 mg</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1 wk</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>L hemispheric TIA</td>
<td>L ICA, 95%; R ICA 50%–60%</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg, simvastatin 40 mg, lisinopril 5 mg, metformin 1500 mg</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 wk</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>L hemispheric TIA</td>
<td>L ICA, 50%</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5 wk</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>L hemispheric TIA</td>
<td>L ICA, 50%</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg, lisinopril 10 mg, atorvastatin 40 mg</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8 wk</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>R hemispheric Stroke</td>
<td>R ICA, 60%–69%</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg, simvastatin 40 mg</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>11 wk</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>L amaurosis fugax</td>
<td>L ICA, 80%–85%; R ICA, 70%–75%</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg, atorvastatin 20 mg, eprosartan 300 mg</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 wk</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>L hemispheric Stroke</td>
<td>L ICA, 70%–90%</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg, simvastatin 40 mg, olmisartan 10 mg</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>L amaurosis fugax</td>
<td>L ICA, 80%–95%; minor plaque in R carotid bulb</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>11 wk</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>R amaurosis fugax</td>
<td>R ICA, 50%</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg, atorvastatin 10 mg</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12 wk</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>R amaurosis fugax &amp; R hemispheric TIA</td>
<td>R ICA, 90%–99%</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg, simvastatin 20 mg, atenolol 100 mg</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2 d</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>L hemispheric stroke</td>
<td>L ICA, 50%–70%</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg, clopidogrel 75 mg, simvastatin 40 mg</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2 wk</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>L hemispheric stroke</td>
<td>L ICA, 50%</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg, simvastatin 40 mg</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>L hemispheric stroke</td>
<td>L ICA, 80%–95%; minor plaque in R ICA</td>
<td>Aspirin 75 mg, simvastatin 40 mg, valsartan 160 mg, lercanidipine 10 mg</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 wk</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICA indicates internal carotid artery; IHD, ischemic heart disease; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; L, left; LL, lower limb; R, right; UL, upper limb.

*On carotid duplex ultrasonography.
†Doses are per day.
in the MES+ group (1.20; 0.90 to 1.70) than in the MES− group (0.96; 0.58 to 1.16), but the difference did not reach statistical significance ($P=0.17$). There was no difference in the time interval from symptom onset to PET scanning in MES+ (56 days; 21 to 70 days) and MES− (30.5 days; 9.5 to 80.5 days) patients ($P=0.68$). In addition, the percent stenosis on carotid duplex ultrasonography in the index carotid artery was not significantly different in MES+ (55%; 50% to 90%) and MES− (81.3%; 52.5% to 87.5%) patients ($P=0.48$).

**Discussion**

This pilot study shows, as per the hypothesis, a significant association of TCD MES with FDG PET-detected plaque inflammation in the index carotid plaque in recently symptomatic patients. MES detected by TCD have been reported in symptomatic carotid disease and are associated with an increased risk of stroke. Many studies correlated MES to more severe degrees of carotid stenosis, but only a few have suggested the association of MES to morphological, as opposed to biological, features of plaque instability, such as ulcerated appearance on angiography, an echoluent appearance on ultrasonography, or plaque ulceration and surface thrombus on histological examination. On the other hand, several discrepant studies failed to find an association between MES and macroscopic plaque characteristics, including intraplaque hemorrhage, adherent thrombus, and surface ulceration. More directly relevant to the present investigation, however, is the finding by Jander et al that the presence of TCD MES was associated with larger macrophage-rich areas in carotid endarterectomy specimens surgically removed from patients with high-grade carotid stenosis. Our results, illustrating this association in vivo, are thus entirely concordant with this earlier histopathologic investigation.

Plaque inflammation underlies a myriad of morphological changes in complex and often unknown ways, which may account for the variability of association of MES to morphological features. Furthermore, some of those features that may be related to microembolism, such as small platelet aggregates and fibrin clots, usually are not specifically examined in histological specimens. Finally, plaque characteristics dynamically evolve over time and so does the frequency of MES; thus, the timing of surgical intervention and of detection of MES by TCD may be critical in explaining these discrepancies. In the present study, we directly evaluated plaque inflammation in vivo by FDG PET and performed TCD on the same day, hence avoiding many of these uncertainties.

Early animal studies on FDG found that FDG accumulates in iliac artery segments with high macrophage density and that its uptake is highly correlated to the macrophage count in the aorta of hyperlipidemic rabbits. Autoradiography experiments using $^3$H deoxyglucose further confirmed accumulation in inflammatory cells within human carotid plaques, but...
not in the intima or smooth muscle cells. Moreover, Tawakol et al. used in vivo FDG PET in humans to show that the ratio of carotid plaque SUV to blood-pool SUV correlated very well to macrophage staining from corresponding histological sections and noted that FDG uptake was not correlated with plaque area, plaque thickness, or area of smooth muscle cell or collagen staining. The current results showing a significant association between MES and carotid plaque FDG uptake thus suggest a relationship between MES and plaque inflammatory cell (macrophage) content.

The choice of parameters to represent inflammation in FDG PET imaging of atherosclerosis is still evolving. A ratio of plaque uptake to a background reference, such as venous blood pool or normal-appearing arterial wall, has been used in previous studies to account for interpatient variability of FDG uptake over and above the normalization to injected activity and body weight that is inherent in SUV. This plaque-to-background ratio may not be optimal because FDG uptake in atherosclerotic plaques appears to be slow and, thus, may remain close to blood-pool activity despite long scanning times, promoting false-negative results. Furthermore, absolute SUV or tissue-to-blood ratio in a plaque may indeed represent its macrophage content but given that clinically silent plaques occasionally show a similar inflammatory burden to index plaques, the direct relevance of those measures to clinical symptoms and embolism (eg, a threshold effect) is not clear. In the present study, we elected to represent index carotid plaque uptake as the ratio of partial volume-corrected SUV to that in the contralateral carotid plaque and calculated the SUV plaque-to-blood ratio for comparison. We found a consistent, though statistically borderline, result.

More importantly, the diffuse nature of arterial inflammation suggests that inflammation measurements in a particular artery should be interpreted in the context of inflammation elsewhere in the arterial tree. Our results show that when FDG uptake was lower in the index carotid than on the contralateral side (ICR <1), there was no significant association with the presence of microemboli. By contrast, when FDG uptake was higher in the index carotid (ICR ≥1), there was a significant association between the 2 modalities. This result suggests that when index plaque inflammation is lower than the prevailing level of diffuse inflammation in comparable arterial sites, it may indicate that either the mechanism of symptoms in that case was not inflammation-driven embolism (eg, hemodynamic); that the carotid plaque was not the “culprit” lesion; or that inflammation within it has rapidly subsided, and the plaque has become quiescent in terms of embolic potential. These propositions about the dynamics of plaque inflammation cannot be confirmed in a cross-sectional study and will need to be ascertained in longitudinal prospective studies. Further, because all the contralateral plaques in this study were by design MES, the relationship of microembolism to inflammation appears only true for the index carotid plaque in symptomatic patients, suggesting that inflammation interacts with other local plaque factors to produce MES or, more generally, symptoms. A different mechanism may underlie microembolism from silent carotid plaques in asymptomatic individuals and is worth investigating in future studies.

The relatively small number of patients is a limitation in the present study, and although rigorous methods were used to ensure accurate coregistration of MRI and PET data, and partial volume correction was used to reduce quantification errors in the FDG signal, the results need to be reproduced in larger samples of patients. Small samples have limited power for detection of differences between groups, yet the positive results regarding the relationships between carotid FDG uptake and the presence of microemboli in index plaques in the current sample, although not definitive, provide proof-of-principle pilot results that would justify pursuing future lines of research in this area. These relationships also will need to be compared in asymptomatic controls harboring similar degrees of carotid stenosis, as would the temporal evolution of inflammation detected by FDG PET need to be prospectively investigated.

From previous studies, it has been suggested that the macrophage content of plaques remains relatively unchanged in the first 180 days following an event. All our patients were scanned within this time frame, yet in future studies, it would still be desirable to further shorten the interval between event onset and scanning to reduce intersubject variability. Most patients were on statins at the time of scanning in the present study, and although statins may reduce plaque inflammation, clinically used doses have not been shown to have a significant effect in this time frame.

In conclusion, this study shows an association in vivo between the presence of MES on TCD and the relative intensity of plaque inflammation detected by FDG PET in carotid plaques in a sample of recently symptomatic patients with TIA and minor stroke. This finding is consistent with earlier indirect reports and if borne out in larger studies, would indicate that FDG carotid plaque imaging has direct clinical relevance to the evaluation of the risk of microembolic events.
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