Cardiac Mechanics in Mild Hypertensive Heart Disease
A Speckle-Strain Imaging Study
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Background—We hypothesized that abnormalities in regional systolic strain (ε) might be present among hypertensive subjects with normal ejection fraction, and, if present, could be used to identify patients at high risk for heart failure. The aim of the current case-control study was to use speckle tracking imaging to identify subclinical global and regional systolic function abnormalities in hypertensive subjects with normal ejection fraction.

Methods and Results—Standard 2D Doppler echocardiography, tissue Doppler imaging, and 2D speckle strain imaging were performed in 52 hypertensive subjects with normal ejection fraction and 52 control subjects of similar age. Peak systolic (S'), and diastolic (E') annular velocities were obtained by tissue Doppler imaging, whereas longitudinal myocardial systolic velocity (Vl) and circumferential, longitudinal, and radial strains (εc, εl, εr) were obtained by speckle tracking. Midwall shortening and peak basal longitudinal strain (εl) were used as indices of regional function. Hypertensive subjects had lower velocities—tissue Doppler imaging E' and S', and Vl—and evidence of reduced regional function. Surprisingly, however, global ε values did not differentiate hypertensive subjects from control subjects. Among hypertensive patients, significant inverse associations were found between left ventricular mass and global longitudinal and circumferential ε (both P<0.05).

Conclusions—Hypertensive heart disease with normal ejection fraction is associated with reduced myocardial velocities and reduced regional function but normal global ε. Our data suggest that velocity abnormalities occur early in hypertension and may be an appropriate target for preventive strategies because they occur before abnormalities in global ε. (Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2009;2:382-390.)
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Hypertension and heart failure are intimately related. Most patients with heart failure have antecedent hypertension, and clinical trials show that the incidence of heart failure among hypertensive subjects is between 1% and 2% per year.1,2 Identifying subclinical left ventricular (LV) systolic dysfunction among hypertensive subjects might be helpful in identifying patients at higher risk for heart failure. Also, given the prevalence of hypertension in the population, identifying patients at high risk for heart failure might permit targeted preventive strategies.

Clinical Perspective on p 390

We and others have previously demonstrated that M-mode echocardiography can be used to measure circumferential shortening at the midwall (FSmw, %),3,4 a measure of regional circumferential strain. Reduced FSmw is prevalent among hypertensive subjects with hypertrophic remodeling,3 and de Simone et al4 have shown that low FSmw in relation to stress is a marker of poor outcome. However, FSmw is related to ventricular geometry, that is, the relative wall thickness (RWT).3 Newer methods, such as 2D speckle imaging, appear to provide a direct, angle- and geometry-independent measure of circumferential strain (εc).5

The aim of the current case-control study was to use speckle tracking imaging as well as standard echocardiographic techniques to identify subclinical abnormalities in LV function among hypertensive subjects as compared with normal control subjects. Accordingly, we studied (1) measures of systolic and diastolic function; (2) the relationship between measures of circumferential shortening and measures of LV remodeling, that is, LV mass index and RWT; and (3) the relationship between indices of myocardial function with measures of remodeling in hypertensive patients as compared with normal control subjects.

Methods

Study Population

Adult subjects of either sex (age, 18 to 90 years) attending the outpatient clinics at UMassMemorial Medical Center were recruited to participate in this study. The study was approved by the Human Subjects Committee of UMass Memorial. At the time of the
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echocardiographic study, height, weight, and blood pressure were recorded. The exclusion criteria included a history of diabetes, coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, stroke, valvular heart disease, atrial fibrillation, and congestive heart failure. After applying exclusion criteria, the study population consisted of 104 participants (52 hypertensive subjects and 52 clinically normal control subjects). The majority of hypertensive subjects were being treated with antihypertensive agents, including 23% who were taking β-blockers.

### Echocardiography

Standard echocardiographic images were obtained, including M-mode and 2D imaging, color-coded tissue Doppler, as well as mitral annular pulsed wave Tissue Doppler imaging. Circumferential, longitudinal, and radial strains \( \varepsilon_r, \varepsilon_l, \varepsilon_t \) and longitudinal myocardial velocity \( V_l \) were obtained using speckle tracking software, as detailed below. Standard LV chamber and wall thickness measurements were made using American Society of Echocardiography standards; these included septal thickness, posterior wall thickness, LV end-diastolic dimension, and LV end-systolic dimension. End-diastolic relative wall thickness was calculated as twice the posterior wall thickness divided by the end-diastolic dimension; LV mass was calculated using the conventional cube formula. Assignment of patients into categories of hypertrophy was made by reference to the American Society of Echocardiography chamber quantitation guidelines. Hypertrophy was diagnosed if the LV mass index (LVMi) was abnormal (>95 g/m² for women, >115 g/m² for men). Concentric geometry was diagnosed if the RWT exceeded 0.42 for both men and women. Concentric remodeling was diagnosed if LVMi was normal but RWT was high.

### Speckle Tracking Analysis by 2D Echocardiography

The 2D images acquired in the short- and long-axis planes were analyzed using the custom acoustic tracking software that allowed a semiautomated analysis of ventricular dynamics (EchoPAC Advanced Analysis Technologies, version 5.5, General Electric, Milwaukee, Wis). Because reliable operation of this software required a minimum frame rate of 30 Hz, frame rates of 40 to 90 Hz were used in this study. Data were obtained from the apical 4-chamber and short-axis views (papillary muscle level). Three sets of images were analyzed and averaged to improve accuracy. We used this analysis to obtain data on global \( \varepsilon \) as well as regional \( \varepsilon_1 \) (obtained in the basal segments; see below).

### Regional Function

We computed FSmw using previously published methodology. FSmw was considered to be an index of regional circumferential function. We averaged values from all 6 segments for LV \( \varepsilon_l \) for comparison with FSmw measurements. We report basal \( \varepsilon_l \), the mean value for the 2 basal segments, that is, the basal septal and the basal lateral walls, as an index of regional longitudinal function. Circumferential and meridional end-systolic stress, indices of afterload, were computed using previously described methodology.

### Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are reported as mean values ± standard deviation; categorical variables are reported as percentages. Analyses were performed with SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill). Normal distribution of variables was assessed by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Comparison of categorical variables (eg, sex) was performed by \( \chi^2 \) test. Comparison of continuous anthropometric data (including age and body size and blood pressure parameters) of hypertensive and normal participants was carried out by Student \( t \) test. Comparison of echocardiographic characteristics (including LV mass and geometry, left atrial geometry and parameters of diastolic function, systolic function, and speckle tracking) was carried out by analysis of covariance, with probability values adjusted for differences among groups in age, sex, distribution, and body surface area. An additional post hoc comparison of echocardiographic characteristics (by age- and sex-corrected analysis of covariance) was performed based on the presence of normal or depressed FSmw in relation to circumferential stress in hypertensive subjects. A probability value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

### Clinical and Demographic Data

Clinical characteristics of the study population are found in Table 1. By definition, hypertensive subjects had higher blood pressure; hypertensive subjects were also more likely to be men \( (P=0.06) \). This nonsignificant sex difference partially accounts for the higher weight, body surface area, and body mass index in this group.

### Cardiac Structure

Echocardiographic assessment of cardiac structure is found in Table 2 and Table 3. As expected, the hypertensive subjects had a higher LV mass (both unindexed and indexed) and RWT than normal control subjects. One fourth of the hyper-
Systolic Function Analysis

EF and endocardial shortening were similar between the groups (Table 4). As expected, FSmw was significantly lower among hypertensive subjects. Similarly, basal $e_l$ was significantly lower in hypertensive subjects. In addition, mean values for both circumferential and meridional stress were significantly lower in the hypertensive subjects, reflecting hypertrophic remodeling. Surprisingly, there was no demonstrable difference between hypertensive subjects and normal control subjects with regard to any of the 3 measured global strain parameters: $e_c$, $e_l$, and $e_r$. Of the Doppler variables, only peak longitudinal velocity by speckle tracking ($V_l$) (3.9 versus 4.4 cm/s, $P<0.001$) and peak tissue Doppler systolic velocity ($S'$) (9 versus 10 cm/s, $P<0.01$) were significantly lower among hypertensive subjects than normal control subjects.

Stress-Shortening and Stress-Strain Relations

Figures 1 and 2 show the relationship between shortening parameters and stress and between shortening/stress parameters and RWT. There was a weak, nonsignificant correlation between FSmw and $e_c$ in both normal and hypertensive subjects ($r=0.05, P=NS$). When we examined the stress-shortening relationships, we observed that approximately one fourth of hypertensive subjects fell below the 95% confidence limits of the normal stress-FSmw relationship (Figure 1, left panel). Examination of the relationship between $e_c$ and circumferential stress showed the expected inverse relationship ($r=-0.28, P<0.01$).

As has been shown previously, FSmw was closely related to RWT ($r=-0.62, P<0.001$), whereas there was no relationship between $e_c$ and RWT ($r=0.05, P=NS$) (Figure 2). Although there was a weak inverse correlation between longitudinal strain and/or regional longitudinal strain (basal $e_l$) versus meridional stress, these relationships were not statistically significant (not shown).

Global and Regional Systolic Parameters in Hypertensive Patients

As shown in Figure 3 (upper left panel), in the hypertensive subjects we found a significant inverse relation between LV mass and indices of global LV systolic function, including both longitudinal $e$ ($r=-0.51; P<0.001$) and circumferential $e$ ($r=0.28; P<0.05$). In a separate analysis of the population by tertiles of LV mass, significantly lower $e_l$ was observed in patients with LV mass $>190$ g ($P<0.01$). A similar but nonsignificant result was observed for $e_c$.

By contrast, indices of regional systolic function (FSmw and basal $e_l$) were strongly associated with RWT. Figure 3 (left panel) shows the significant association between FSmw ($r=-0.78; P<0.001$) and basal $e_l$ ($r=-0.36; P<0.01$, respectively) and RWT. In an additional analysis separating hypertensive patients into tertiles of RWT, significantly lower FSmw and basal $e_l$ was observed in patients with RWT $>0.49$ ($P<0.001$ for both).

Depressed Midwall Shortening in Relation to Stress

To further explore the association between cardiac strain parameters and traditional echocardiographic indices of LV structure and function, we performed a post hoc analysis to investigate characteristics of patients whose FSmw in relation to circumferential stress fell below 95% confidence limits of the normal relationship (Figure 1). There were 14 such individuals. As shown in Table 5, patients with depressed FSmw in relation to stress were slightly (although not significantly) older, had higher wall thickness and RWT, and a lower LV diastolic diameter, $E'$, and E/A ratio. Although systolic velocity indices were similarly reduced in hyperten-
sive patients with normal and reduced midwall mechanics, a significant reduction in longitudinal global strain could be observed only in hypertensive patients with depressed stress-corrected FSmw. By contrast, compared with normal control subjects, a stepwise decrease in basal longitudinal strain was observed in hypertensive patients with normal and impaired midwall mechanics ($P<0.05$ for both).

Diastolic Function

When we examined the relationships between diastolic function parameters and LV structure variables, we found that both $E'$ and $E/E'$ correlated with RWT ($r=-0.34$, $r=0.21$, $P<0.05$) (Figure 4). In addition, E-wave deceleration time ($r=0.37$, $P<0.001$), and $A'$ were positively correlated with RWT ($r=0.31$, $P<0.001$) (data not shown graphically).

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** Left panel shows the correlation between FSmw and circumferential stress; right panel shows the correlation between mean circumferential strain by speckle tracking and circumferential stress. The fit line and 95% confidence limits shown are derived from the normal subjects. For this and the other graphics, hypertensive subjects are shown in black triangles and normal control subjects in open circles. Approximately one fourth of hypertensive patients fall below the confidence limits for FSmw-stress relationship.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** Left panel shows the correlation between FSmw and RWT, a descriptor of LV geometry; right panel shows the correlation between mean circumferential strain and RWT. A significant correlation between FSmw and RWT was observed. By contrast (right panel), there was no correlation between circumferential strain and RWT.
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$S'$ and $E'$ were correlated both in hypertensive and normal subjects ($r=0.56$, $P<0.001$). A similar relationship was observed between $V_1$ versus $E'$ ($r=0.53$, $P<0.001$) (data not shown graphically).

**Discussion**

We undertook this study to determine whether speckle tracking imaging could provide novel insights into systolic function among hypertensive subjects. A principal advantage of speckle tracking imaging is that it offers measurements of strain that, unlike tissue Doppler, are not dependent on insonation angle and compare closely with those obtained by MRI tagging. Thus, for the first time, a noninvasive method is available for widespread use that would permit measurement of the 3 principal systolic strains: circumferential, longitudinal, and radial. We hypothesized that this method would identify subclinical abnormalities in systolic function, which might eventually be used to target hypertensive patients who might be at increased risk for heart failure. We studied unselected patients whose hypertensive heart disease was uncomplicated by coronary heart disease, heart failure, or severe valvular disease. Thus, we believe that our results are potentially applicable to a large percentage of hypertensive subjects seen in daily practice.

**Principal Findings**

Hypertensive subjects had, on average, mean strain values that were similar to those found in normal control subjects. Depressed global strain was seen only in those patients with more extreme LV hypertrophic remodeling, also characterized by depressed midwall shortening in relation to circumferential stress. Second, whereas the extent of shortening by strain analysis was not significantly reduced (except for patients with more advanced hypertensive heart disease),
velocity of shortening was reduced: Both tissue Doppler longitudinal velocity (peak \( S' \)) and peak longitudinal velocity by speckle tracking (\( V_L \)) were lower among hypertensive subjects. Third, as expected from our prior work, regional function was abnormal. Mean midwall shortening, which we used as an index of regional circumferential function, was reduced in hypertensive subjects, and a sizable group of hypertensive subjects had reduced FSmw in relation to stress (Figure 1). In the current population of patients, with a 25% prevalence of LV hypertrophy, approximately one fourth fell below confidence limits of the normal stress-shortening relationship. In parallel, regional longitudinal function, as assessed by basal longitudinal strain, was also reduced. Both of these indices of regional systolic function, FSmw and basal longitudinal strain, were related to LV geometry (RWT), whereas global indices of strain were mostly related to LV mass. Finally, diastolic filling variables were abnormal in direct relation to hypertrophic remodeling.

### Regional Dysfunction in Hypertensive Heart Disease

We used FSmw as an index of regional circumferential function because the current speckle tracking software does not permit assessment of transmural strains. Our data corroborate prior work with hypertensive subjects by our group and others, which has consistently demonstrated that midwall shortening is inversely related to stress and, when stress-shortening plots are constructed, approximately one fourth of individuals have low midwall shortening in relation to stress. We observed similar data in the current population. This depression of myocardial function is not apparent when endocardial indices, for example, endocardial fractional shortening or ejection fraction, are used to gauge systolic function. We have usually interpreted these data to mean that concentric hypertrophy allows for normal chamber function despite reduced shortening at the midwall. Similar results have been found in patients with aortic stenosis, in hypertensive men with concentric remodeling, and in patients with diastolic heart failure. These results have been corroborated by others, which has consistently demonstrated that midwall shortening is inversely related to stress and, when stress-shortening plots are constructed, approximately one fourth of individuals have low midwall shortening in relation to stress. We observed similar data in the current population. This depression of myocardial function is not apparent when endocardial indices, for example, endocardial fractional shortening or ejection fraction, are used to gauge systolic function. We have usually interpreted these data to mean that concentric hypertrophy allows for normal chamber function despite reduced shortening at the midwall. Similar results have been found in patients with aortic stenosis, in hypertensive men with concentric remodeling, and in patients with diastolic heart failure. These results have been corroborated by others, which has consistently demonstrated that midwall shortening is inversely related to stress and, when stress-shortening plots are constructed, approximately one fourth of individuals have low midwall shortening in relation to stress. We observed similar data in the current population. This depression of myocardial function is not apparent when endocardial indices, for example, endocardial fractional shortening or ejection fraction, are used to gauge systolic function. We have usually interpreted these data to mean that concentric hypertrophy allows for normal chamber function despite reduced shortening at the midwall.

### Velocity Is Reduced in Patients With Hypertension and Remodeling

We also found that the velocity of longitudinal shortening was reduced among hypertensive subjects; \( V_L \), \( S' \), and \( E' \) were all lower among hypertensive subjects than among normal control subjects. That velocity of shortening in the longitudinal plane is reduced has been shown by Yip et al, who observed that the peak systolic mitral annular velocity by Tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) was lower in patients with LV hypertrophy and diastolic heart failure as compared with age-matched normal subjects. Bruch et al studied patients with acromegaly and found that the E, E/A ratio, and \( E' \) were lower in patients with active acromegaly as compared with age-matched normal subjects and well-controlled acromegaly, whereas \( S' \) and \( E'/E' \) ratio did not differ. Nikitin et al evaluated patients with heart failure and normal global systolic function. They observed that \( E' \) and \( S' \) were lower in this group, whereas \( E'/E' \) ratio was higher as compared with normal subjects. Vinereau et al demonstrated similar findings among hypertensive subjects and patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with significant hypertrophic remodeling. These data confirm observations that we made in

### Table 5. Characteristics of Patients With Low Midwall Shortening in Relation to Stress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal (n=52)</th>
<th>HTN Normal FSmw/CS (n=38)</th>
<th>HTN Abnormal FSmw/CS (n=14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>49±14</td>
<td>51±15</td>
<td>58±15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal thickness, cm</td>
<td>0.8±0.1</td>
<td>1.0±0.1‡</td>
<td>1.3±0.3¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior wall thickness, cm</td>
<td>0.8±0.1</td>
<td>1.0±0.1‡</td>
<td>1.2±0.3¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVDD, cm</td>
<td>4.5±0.5</td>
<td>4.6±0.5‡</td>
<td>4.2±0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVWs, cm</td>
<td>2.9±0.4</td>
<td>2.8±0.4</td>
<td>2.7±0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA size, cm</td>
<td>3.2±0.4</td>
<td>3.6±0.4*</td>
<td>3.6±0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass, g</td>
<td>116±31</td>
<td>167±54‡</td>
<td>191±96‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass/BSA, g/m²</td>
<td>66±13</td>
<td>86±20‡</td>
<td>99±48‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWT</td>
<td>0.35±0.05</td>
<td>0.42±0.05‡</td>
<td>0.61±0.17¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF and shortening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF, %</td>
<td>66±9</td>
<td>69±6‡</td>
<td>65±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSendo, %</td>
<td>36±7</td>
<td>39±5‡</td>
<td>36±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSmw, %</td>
<td>21±3</td>
<td>21±2</td>
<td>15±3¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_c ), g/cm²</td>
<td>98±23</td>
<td>82±19</td>
<td>74±30‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_m ), g/cm²</td>
<td>51±13</td>
<td>41±10‡</td>
<td>30±17‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_c ), %</td>
<td>22±3</td>
<td>22±4</td>
<td>22±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_t ), %</td>
<td>22±3</td>
<td>23±1</td>
<td>19±4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_r ), %</td>
<td>44±11</td>
<td>43±13</td>
<td>38±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal ( e_b ), %</td>
<td>20±3</td>
<td>19±3</td>
<td>16±4¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_L ), cm/s</td>
<td>4.4±0.9</td>
<td>3.8±0.6§</td>
<td>3.9±0.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S' ), cm/s</td>
<td>10±2</td>
<td>9±2*</td>
<td>9±2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E, cm/s</td>
<td>84±20</td>
<td>84±20</td>
<td>77±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A, cm/s</td>
<td>64±20</td>
<td>73±20*</td>
<td>88±30‡§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A</td>
<td>1.4±0.5</td>
<td>1.2±0.3*</td>
<td>0.9±0.3¶§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT, ms</td>
<td>201±25</td>
<td>238±35‡</td>
<td>247±65‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E' ), cm/s</td>
<td>15±4</td>
<td>12±3*</td>
<td>10±2†§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E'/E' )</td>
<td>6.3±2</td>
<td>7.3±2*</td>
<td>7.9±4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hypertensive subjects with concentric hypertrophy, using M-mode mitral annular displacement. To reiterate, despite this difference in velocities, average strains are no different in hypertensive subjects than in normal individuals and support the position that velocity abnormalities precede global strain abnormalities in hypertensive subjects.

Regional Versus Global Function

Why is global circumferential strain normal in hypertensive patients when FSmw is not, and why do our results differ from those obtained by MRI tagging? We believe that there are several potential explanations. First, FSmw estimates circumferential strain at the midwall layer exclusively. By contrast, in the current software configuration, \( e_c \) measures the average strain throughout the region of interest, and therefore the mean \( e_c \) value is an average of strains in the epicardial, midwall, and subendocardial regions. It is well known that there is a gradient of strains from the epicardium to the subendocardium.

A second explanation for our results is that the mean LV mass of our patients was much less than what was reported in the 3 prior relevant studies. In fact, Palmon et al reported a mean LVMI of 142 g/m^2 and a mean RWT of 0.49 in their study, Aurigemma found a mean LVMI of 154 g/m^2 and a mean RWT of 0.62, and Vinereau described a population with mean LVMI of 175 g/m^2 and mean RWT of 0.52, suggesting that these investigations were studying patients with more advanced disease. In support of this hypothesis is our finding of a significant negative association between LV mass and \( e_c \).

Diastolic Function

There appeared to be an inverse relationship between LV remodeling and longitudinal velocities. Hypertension was associated with reduced longitudinal velocity abnormalities, seen both with speckle tracking imaging and with TDI. That longitudinal velocity is depressed in hypertensive subjects with normal EF has been demonstrated previously, as noted above. Our data support the notion that abnormalities in diastolic filling (and filling pressure) (1) precede abnormalities in global strain and LV systolic function (eg, EF) and (2) are related to abnormalities in longitudinal lengthening and LV geometry. As noted above, the statistically significant close correlation between S' and E' suggests that initial diastolic filling is closely related to longitudinal shortening.

Limitations

Our \( e_c \) measurements were not directly validated by us using MRI tagging. However, Amundsen et al showed a good correlation between longitudinal 2D strain values and those obtained by MRI tagging. In normal individuals, 2D \( e \) was not feasible in approximately 6% of segments. We have previously shown that the interobserver and intraobserver variabilities for 2D speckle strain measurements were 12% and 11%, respectively. This variability, though comparable to those shown by Serri et al and Korinek et al, is substantial. However, we do not believe that the variability data vitiate the results of this cross-sectional study because there is no reason to believe that there is more variability in hypertensive subjects than in control subjects. At the present time, it is not possible to measure transmural values for circumferential strain using speckle tracking technology; such ability would certainly shed light on the meaning of reduced midwall shortening in relation to stress, as was observed in this population of hypertensive subjects. Although not significantly different, the normal control subjects were slightly younger and more often women than

Figure 4. Left panel shows the correlation between early diastolic mitral annular velocity (E') and RWT; right panel shows the correlation between E/E' ratio and RWT. There is an inverse correlation between early diastolic mitral annular velocity (E') and RWT and a modest direct correlation between E/E' ratio and RWT.
the hypertensive subjects. Despite our statistical correction by analysis of covariance, we cannot say for certain that the differences in velocities and filling abnormalities were not partially influenced by age and sex. However, as previously shown by Sun et al and recently confirmed by Marwick et al in nearly 250 normal volunteers, there does not appear to be a significant age or sex dependence of strain values.

Finally, the prevalence of hypertensive subjects with concentric remodeling is high (48%) compared with previous studies. This high prevalence is likely because most of our hypertensive subjects were treated and because we used a lower relative wall thickness and lower LVMI cutoff to define concentric geometry/hypertrophy than previous studies.

Conclusion

In hypertensive subjects with LV remodeling, normal EF, and no history of heart failure, velocity of shortening and lengthening, midwall shortening, and diastolic filling variables are abnormal, whereas global systolic strains in all 3 planes are not significantly reduced. We conclude that reduced FSmw in mild hypertensive heart disease may reflect, primarily, abnormal LV geometry and not reduced myocardial function. The velocity abnormalities observed in this series of patients with mild hypertensive heart disease with normal EF are associated with higher E/E' and left atrial pressure. Furthermore, velocity abnormalities occur in direct proportion to RWT. However, in contrast to velocity of shortening, the extent of circumferential and radial shortening is preserved, and only mild reduction in longitudinal strain can be found in hypertensive patients with high LV mass and reduced FSmw. These data suggest that velocity abnormalities occur relatively early in hypertensive subjects, are associated with (or even responsible for) diastolic filling abnormalities, and thus may be a target for preventive strategies.
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Clinical identification of high-risk hypertensive patients is desirable for preventive strategies. We hypothesized that abnormalities in regional systolic strain ($\varepsilon$) may help identify such high-risk patients even when the ejection fraction is normal. Accordingly, the aim of the current study was to use echocardiographic speckle tracking imaging, a technique that is independent of ultrasound angle of interrogation, to identify subclinical global and regional systolic function abnormalities in hypertensive subjects with normal ejection fraction. Accordingly, 2D speckle strain imaging was performed in 104 subjects (52 hypertensive subjects, 53±12 years of age, and 52 control subjects, 49±13 years of age; $P=0.82$), all of whom had normal ejection fraction. We measured systolic velocities as well as systolic strains. Our principal findings were that when compared with control subjects, hypertensive subjects had lower myocardial systolic velocities but similar values for $\varepsilon$ in all 3 planes: longitudinal, radial, and circumferential. Among hypertensive subjects, significant inverse associations were found between left ventricular mass and global longitudinal ($r=-0.51$) and circumferential $\varepsilon$ ($r=-0.21$; both $P<0.05$). To summarize, mild hypertensive heart disease with normal ejection fraction was associated with reduced myocardial velocities but normal global $\varepsilon$. We interpret these data as showing that velocity abnormalities occur early in hypertension, are related to ventricular geometry, and thus may be an appropriate target for preventive strategies because they occur before detectable abnormalities in global strain.
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