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Background—The left atrium is a validated marker of clinical and subclinical cardiovascular disease. Left atrial enlargement is often seen among older individuals; however, there are few population-based data regarding normal left atrial size among older persons, especially from those who are healthy, and from women. Furthermore, because the left atrium is a 3D structure, the commonly used parasternal long-axis diastolic diameter often underdiagnoses left atrial enlargement.

Methods and Results—We evaluated left atrial size in 230 healthy participants (mean age, 76±5 years) free of prevalent cardiac disease, rhythm abnormality, hypertension, and diabetes selected from the Cardiovascular Health Study, a prospective community-based study of risk factors for cardiovascular disease in 5888 elderly participants. In addition to the standard long-axis measurement, we obtained left atrial superoinferior and lateral diameters and used these dimensions to estimate left atrial volume. These measurements were used to generate reference ranges for determining left atrial enlargement in older men and women, based on the 95% percentiles of the left atrial dimensions in healthy participants, both unadjusted, and after adjustment for age, height, and weight. In healthy elderly subjects, indices of left atrial size do not correlate with age or height but with weight and other measures of body build.

Conclusions—These data provide normative reference values for left atrial size in healthy older women and men. The results should be useful for refining diagnostic criteria for left atrial dilation in the older population and may be relevant for cardiovascular risk stratification. (Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2009;2:282-289.)
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Left atrial (LA) size is increased in a variety of cardiovascular disorders and is an indicator of chronically increased left atrial pressure and/or volume.1-5 Because left atrial size can be measured noninvasively by echocardiography, it can be a convenient indicator of overall cardiovascular health.6,7
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Measurement of LA size is part of the standard echocardiographic examination. Traditionally, maximal end-systolic LA dimension is obtained from the parasternal long-axis view, which represents a sagittal view of the heart and thus evaluates the anterior-posterior dimension of the chamber. However, the LA, like all cardiac structures, is 3D, and its enlargement may result in an asymmetrical geometry. Therefore, measurement of a single LA diameter may underestimate actual LA size. For these reasons, multiple linear dimensions or measurement of LA volume might be preferable to the standard parasternal long-axis measurement in view of data that suggest that this single linear dimension may lack sensitivity for diagnosing LA enlargement.7-12 Furthermore, because the prevalence of LA enlargement increases with age, it is critical to have accurate normative reference values to correctly assess cardiovascular risk stratification in the elderly. At present, there is a dearth of such reference data in both population-based samples and in women. The population-based Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) presented an opportunity to obtain such reference values.

Methods

Study Population
The CHS, designed to assess cardiovascular disease, its outcome, and risk factors in older individuals, identified adults from the Health Care Financing Administration Medicare enrollment lists in 4 US communities, along with other household members age 65 and older at enrollment.13,14 Recruitment centers were located in Washington County, Md; Forsyth County, NC; Sacramento County, Calif; and Allegheny County, Pa. Exclusion criteria for the Cardiovascular Health Study included active treatment for cancer, being wheelchair-bound or institutionalized, or being unable to participate in the examination.13,14 Prevalent coronary artery disease, stroke, and heart...
failure did not exclude participants from study enrollment. Including both the original cohort, recruited in 1989 to 1990, and those enrolled in 1992 to 1993 when the study was expanded to include more blacks, 5888 participants were enrolled. Details of the design, sampling, and recruitment, as well as the interview and examination, have been published previously.1,3,14 Self-report of cardiovascular diseases at baseline was validated according to standardized criteria through assessment of medications, medical records, and by relevant information obtained during the initial examination. Echocardiography was performed in 1989 to 1990, and again in 1994 to 1995.

In the initial reading of the echocardiograms, only LA anteroposterior diameter was measured.15 In a subset of CHS participants, echocardiogram videotapes recorded in 1994 to 1995 were reread by 3 independent readers (G.P.A., J.S.G., D.K.) to obtain additional measures of LA size and geometry. The subset included participants with heart failure at the time of the second echocardiogram (1994 to 1995), those who developed congestive heart failure after the second echocardiogram and before June 30, 2000, and controls matched to heart failure cases on age and sex. Participants without an interpretable echocardiogram and those with any grade of significant aortic stenosis (defined as visibly evident decrease in leaflet excursion and/or transaortic peak velocity $>1.9$ m/s) or mitral stenosis (identified qualitatively by valve thickening and limitation of leaflet excursion), greater than 2+ mitral regurgitation, or aortic regurgitation greater than 1+ were excluded from analysis. The current report includes participants from the control group who did not have any cardiovascular disease (CVD), defined as transient ischemic attack, stroke, myocardial infarction (MI), angina, revascularization or claudication, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and who had no history of hypertension or diabetes and were not using $\beta$-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, digitalis, or warfarin at the time of the echocardiography examination in 1994 to 1995. As suggested by current practice guidelines, the presence of hypertension was defined as self-report of hypertension and/or taking antihypertensive medications; accordingly, incidental high blood pressure values were not used for the diagnosis of hypertension. Diabetes was defined as taking insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents at any time from baseline to the 1994 to 1995 visit, or reporting diabetes at any time in that interval or having a fasting glucose level $\geq 126$ mg/dL in 1992 to 1993 when fasting glucose was evaluated. This group of CVD healthy participants included 132 women and 98 men.

**Echocardiography**

The design for echocardiographic study of participants in the Cardiovascular Health Study has been extensively described.15 All echocardiograms were interpreted, blinded to clinical information, at a centralized core echocardiography laboratory. Linear LA dimensions were measured in 3 orthogonal planes: parasternal long axis (PLAX), lateral (LAT), and superoinferior (SI) (see Figure 1). All linear dimensions were measured at the end of ventricular systole determined from the peak of the R wave on the accompanying ECG tracing. The PLAX was taken in the parasternal long-axis view, from the leading edge of the posterior aortic wall just distal to the aortic leaflets perpendicularly to the leading edge of the posterior LA wall. The LAT and SI dimensions were both taken from the apical 4-chamber view using inner edge–to–inner edge measurement. LA volume was calculated by using the length diameter ellipsoid method, applying the following equation: $V = 4\pi/3 \times (\text{PLAX}/2) \times (\text{LAT}/2) \times (\text{SI}/2)$.

**Statistical Methods**

Distributions of the LA size parameters and selected covariates were summarized by their mean, standard deviation, range, and the 5th, 50th, and 95th percentiles of the observed distributions in the healthy subgroup, separately for men and women. Associations of LA size parameters with age, weight, standing height, heel to knee length, body surface area (BSA), and body mass index were determined by partial correlation coefficients, adjusted for sex. Regression models were used to test for differences between men and women in their bivariate associations of age or body size with LA measurements, by incorporating an interaction between age or body size and sex. The relationships of PLAX, SI, and LA volume to body surface area were explored with bivariate scatterplot smoothers to assess the appropriateness of a linear model. Linear regression was used to estimate the relationships of the size parameters to BSA, and the results were plotted along with the 95% prediction intervals.

To develop reference ranges that adjust for differences in body size, regression models were created for each LA size parameter in men and women separately, with age, height, and weight as independent predictors. For each model, the 95th percentile of the distribution of the residuals, defined as the observed minus the predicted, was used to define the age and body size–adjusted upper limit of normal for the LA parameter. The reported reference equations determine the predicted value of the LA parameter, given a participant’s sex, age, weight, and height. The width of the interval above that prediction, when added to the predicted value, defines the upper limit of normal.

**Results**

**LAD and LAV Relation to Sex and Body Size**

Table 1 shows the mean as well as 5th, 50th, and 95th percentiles values for PLAX, SI, and LAT and LAV, for men and women free of CVD, diabetes, and hypertension. As expected, PLAX and LA volume were significantly larger in men than women ($P=0.002$): LASI was also marginally (but significantly) larger in men ($P=0.051$). There were no differences in LAT by sex. LA dimensions increased with weight, BMI, and BSA ($P=0.003$ for all). The strongest associations across all dimensions were with weight and its derivative BMI (Table 2). The only significant interaction by sex was the association of age with LASI ($P=0.041$).

Linear models accounted for 10% to 24% of the variability in LA dimensions, with the combination of height and weight equivalent to that of BMI, and each explaining more of the variability in LA linear dimension than BSA.

For LAV, models incorporating body size, age, and sex accounted for 18% to 24% of the variability in this parameter. Similar to linear dimensions, models using BSA had the
lowest $R^2$ values. Figures 2 through 4 illustrate the direct relationship between LA PLAX dimension, LA SI dimension, and LA volume and body surface area. Regression equations with both $r$ and probability values are provided.

In Table 3, we provide reference equations for estimating whether LA dilation is present for linear dimensions and volume, where dilation is defined as a value above the 95th percentile in healthy older adults, after adjusting for age, height, and weight. Although height was not associated with the LA parameters in bivariate models, once adjusted for weight, height become significant in several of the models, so was included in the reference equations. Similarly, age was not significantly correlated with LA parameters in bivariate models, but once stratified by sex and adjusted for body size, age was significant in all of the models for men, and, for consistency, was included in all of the reference equations. Additional analysis was also performed to test the effect of adjustment for body size on the diagnosis of LA enlargement. Based on our reference equations, we identified participants who would be classified as having elevated LA parameters

### Table 1. Reference Ranges for LA Dimension and Volume and Selected Clinical Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear Dimensions</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLAX, cm</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3.0±0.5</td>
<td>1.5–4.2</td>
<td>2.2 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3.4±0.6</td>
<td>2.1–4.8</td>
<td>2.4 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI, cm</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4.6±0.7</td>
<td>2.6–6.1</td>
<td>3.6 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4.8±0.7</td>
<td>3.3–6.7</td>
<td>3.7 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAT, cm</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3.6±0.6</td>
<td>1.9–5.2</td>
<td>2.4 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3.8±0.6</td>
<td>2.4–5.1</td>
<td>2.6 3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Volume            |         |         | 0.05   | 0.50       | 0.95       |
|-------------------|---------|---------|--------|------------|
| LAV, mL           | Female  | 27.4±10.0| 8.7–53.9| 12.3 26.4  | 46.7       |
|                   | Male    | 32.0±10.9| 13.9–71.5| 17.2 30.1  | 58.2       |

| Clinical data     |         |         | 0.05   | 0.50       | 0.95       |
|-------------------|---------|---------|--------|------------|
| Age, y            | Female  | 76.5±4.9| 68–91  | 70 76 85   |
|                   | Male    | 77.3±5.6| 68–93  | 70 75 88   |
| Height, inches    | Female  | 62.8±2.2| 57.9–68.1| 58.4 63.0  | 66.2       |
|                   | Male    | 67.9±2.5| 60.4–75.2| 63.8 67.8  | 71.7       |
| Weight, lb        | Female  | 146±29  | 84–233 | 96 144 193 |
|                   | Male    | 173±26  | 114–254| 132 170 222|
| BSA, m²           | Female  | 1.66±0.17| 1.27–2.07| 1.37 1.66  | 1.93       |
|                   | Male    | 1.89±0.16| 1.48–2.37| 1.60 1.88  | 2.13       |
| BMI, kg/m²        | Female  | 25.9±4.6| 15.2–39.5| 17.9 25.2  | 34.0       |
|                   | Male    | 26.3±3.2| 18.4–37.6| 21.6 26.0  | 31.7       |
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg | Female | 128±18 | 84–181 | 99 126 158 |
|                   | Male    | 130±17  | 88–211 | 109 127 158|
| Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg | Female | 68.4±9.8| 42–92  | 51 69 83   |
|                   | Male    | 70.8±9.6| 49–110 | 56 70 87   |

### Table 2. Partial Correlation Coefficients (P Values) of Age and Body Size Measures With LA Parameters, Adjusted for Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>PLAX</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>SI</th>
<th>LAV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.09 (0.19)</td>
<td>−0.06 (0.39)</td>
<td>−0.11 (w)* (0.23)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>0.31 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.33 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.41 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.01 (0.85)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.29)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heel-knee length</td>
<td>0.11 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.047)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>0.26 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.20 0.003</td>
<td>0.29 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.35 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.35 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.24 0.003</td>
<td>0.36 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.44 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$P$ values are in parentheses below the correlation coefficients.

*Bivariate correlations for women (w) and men (m) separately due to significant interaction.
and compared them against those whose values exceeded the sex-specific 95th percentiles of the LA parameter distributions, unadjusted for body size. Of the 10 healthy participants exceeding the sex-specific 95th percentile for PLAX, 4 were classified normal after using our reference equations to adjust for height and weight. For LASI, 2 of 10 were reclassified as normal, and for volume, 2 of 9 were reclassified as normal. Conversely, of the 213 participants below the sex-specific 95th percentile of the PLAX distribution, 4 (1.9%) were reclassified as having high PLAX when body size was considered. For LASI, 2 of 200 (1%) were reclassified as high, and for LA volume, 2 of 191 (1%) were reclassified as high. Perhaps more informative, considering all 848 participants with any LA measures, of those classified normal by the 95th percentile, 4.3%, 3.2%, and 5.7% were reclassified as high for PLAX, LASI, and LA volume, respectively, once body size was considered. Of those classified high by the 95th percentile, 15.5%, 21.3%, and 8.1% were reclassified as normal for PLAX, LASI, and LA volume, respectively, once body size was considered.

**Discussion**

In a subgroup of the CHS without cardiovascular disease, hypertension, or diabetes, indices of LA size and volume increased with increasing body size and were larger in men than in women. The variability in body size, age, and sex explained up to 24% of the variability of LA size in the reference subjects. As the correlation matrix provided in Table 2 shows, the correlation between LA dimensions and either BSA or BMI were stronger than those seen between LA dimensions and height.

**Relationship to Prior Studies**

There have been many studies of LA dimension and LA volume in a variety of subject groups.18–30 Some of the relevant studies are summarized in Tables 4 and 5. Only 3 prior studies have established normal reference ranges for apical 4-chamber long-axis dimension,21,29,30 but none of these studies contained data on substantial numbers of older individuals, nor were the data broken down by sex. Thus, the data presented herein are likely to be relevant to diagnosis of LA enlargement in the current population of patients encountered by clinicians.

**LA Dimensions**

For PLAX LA dimension, the 95th percentile values were 3.9 and 4.4 cm for women and men, somewhat smaller than those reported by Pritchett9 and others (Table 5). There are several potential explanations for why our values for PLAX dimensions are smaller. We believe that the principal reasons are that first, we used direct, on-screen 2-D measurements rather than M-mode strip-chart measurements.9 Second, since our population was extremely well characterized, we had more of an opportunity to exclude comorbid conditions, common preclinical risk factors, and any evidence of prevalent cardiovascular disease. Third, most of the studies summarized in Table 5 included younger participants than those in our study.

By contrast, there are very few data in the literature with which to compare our results for SI dimensions. Our 95th percentile values of 5.6 cm in women and 6.1 cm in men are considerably higher than the 95th percentile value of 5.3 cm
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Our results confirm these findings by 3-D echocardiography or method of disks is used (Table 5). More recent studies have compared with results when either an apical single or biplane PLA dimension is used in the ellipsoid volume formula, underestimation of LA volumes when the single M-mode is used in the elderly population provided by the present study somewhat overlap the reference values for adults provided by current guidelines. In particular, we found that partition values for LA diameter in women (3.8 versus 3.9 cm) and for LA volume in men (58 versus 58.2 mL) were virtually identical. However, some interesting differences are observed in LA diameter for men (4.6 versus 4.0 cm) and in LA volume in women (47 versus 52 mL), possibly showing that in the elderly a different geometric adaptation of the LA over time might occur. Our data results suggest that with aging, whereas the LA in women tends to enlarge its superior-inferior diameter, in men the geometric shape of the normal LA becomes spherical, as suggested by larger reference LA AP diameter at similar normal LA volume.

### Study Limitations

We believe that the strengths of our study include use of a well-defined cohort to identify healthy participants and to adjust for body size measures. However, the study is not without limitations. The major limitation is that the study sample is small. With 132 women and 98 men, our numbers were too small to split the sample to perform a validation analysis of the 95th percentile limits; furthermore, the truncated age range of the population might reduce the chance to observe a relationship between age and LA size. In addition, the reference equations in Table 3 have not yet been validated prospectively. However, some limited validation is suggested by the finding that 38% of CHS patients with prevalent systolic heart failure have LA enlargement by our 95th percentile values.

### Table 5. Left Atrial Volumes: Prior Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lester 1999</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>M-mode/sphere</td>
<td>( V = \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 )</td>
<td>39±20</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Valve excluded</td>
<td>LA dimension (PLA)</td>
<td>Single plane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A4C MOD</td>
<td>58±30</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Biplane</td>
<td>64±30</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsang 2001</td>
<td>1655</td>
<td>75±7 moAF</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Modified biplane</td>
<td>A-L method</td>
<td>62±25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang 1984</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Biplane</td>
<td></td>
<td>35±10</td>
<td>38±10</td>
<td>32±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ren 1983</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>53±12 (23–65)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Area-length</td>
<td></td>
<td>43±13</td>
<td>46±16</td>
<td>40±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearlman 1990</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>&quot;Adults&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Adults&quot;</td>
<td>V = 4/3 \pi r1r2r3</td>
<td>Upper limit of normal</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pritchett (2D-directed M-mode) 2003</td>
<td>56±7</td>
<td>Olmstead County “reference group”</td>
<td>V = 4/3 \pi r1r2r3</td>
<td>Upper limit of normal</td>
<td>42±11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current study (2D) 2008</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>CHS population</td>
<td>V = 4/3 \pi r1r2r3</td>
<td>Upper limit of normal</td>
<td>32±11</td>
<td></td>
<td>27±10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
percentile method, and 45.6% when the prediction equations are used.33

In the present report, we have not excluded otherwise healthy obese participants, which might suggest that the reported association between LA size and measures of body build could be influenced by the presence of obese individuals. To see if this were so, we examined scatterplot smoother
er of the LA parameters across the range of BMI and found no deviation from a linear model, with the possible exception of PLAX for BMI values <16 or >35kg/m^2. We reran all
models excluding the few individuals in this range, and there were no meaningful changes in the classification of participants with high LA parameters based on the reference equations. We note further that although the risk associated with uncomplicated obesity is well established in children and adults, previous reports from the CHS have shown that although being overweight at age 65 or older is associated with worse outcomes than being normal weight, overweight or frank obesity is rarely associated with worse outcomes than normal weight and may even be associated with significantly better outcomes.32–34 However, because of the relatively high BMI of our participants and the direct association of BMI with LA parameters, we encourage use of the reference equations rather than the 95th percentiles to identify individuals with high LA parameters.

It is a potential limitation that participants in the study might have had atrial fibrillation that was not detected on the regularly scheduled CHS follow-up visits and that this arrhythmia might have contributed to LA enlargement independent of the demographic factors explored in our analysis. Also, the study does not take into account the potential impact of diastolic dysfunction, as assessed by transmitral E and A velocities and their ratios. To account for this potential confounder, we have performed several additional analyses on diastolic filling in our population of normal elderly patients. There was no significant relationship between early peak flow velocity and LA volume for either men or women, in a linear regression adjusting for age, height, and weight (P=0.10 for women and 0.28 for men). In addition, the E/A ratios by sex-specific quartiles of LA volume were identical in all groups. In fact, the probability value for a linear trend across quartiles was 0.094, and there was no evidence of a deviation from linearity (P=0.67). Thus, at least by the standard transmitral velocity analysis, there did not appear to be a significant interaction between diastolic filling and LA size. Whether we would reach the same conclusion if either pulmonary vein velocities or tissue Doppler data were available is unanswerable within the framework of CHS.

It has been suggested that the biplane area might estimate LA volume more accurately as compared with the prolate ellipse model using 3 different orthogonal planes.35 Unfortunately, in the CHS database, only data for the computation of LA volume through the use of 3 orthogonal axis linear measurements were available and therefore a comparison with other methods could not be performed. However, according to the current ASE guidelines,16 the LA can be adequately represented as a prolate ellipse and therefore we believe that our methodology is acceptable as well and widely used in clinical practice.

Clinical Implications

We have established a series of reference equations and normative values for LA dimensions and volumes in a well-characterized, older, and cardiovascular-healthy individuals. LA size is more strongly related to BSA and BMI than to height, as has been previously shown in younger populations with heart disease.1,10 Given that the prevalence of heart disease increases with age, we believe that these equations may be useful in helping to better integrate quantitative tools into everyday clinical echocardiography. The development of these reference standards for the elderly might facilitate future study into whether and which parameters better predict cardiovascular complications or help identify preclinical cardiovascular disease.
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At present, there is a dearth of such reference data in population-based samples and in women. Accordingly, we have created some nomograms that will enable clinicians to easily diagnose LA enlargement. We hope that these data appear in a normal adult population by two-dimensional echocardiography. 

Left atrial (LA) size is increased in a variety of cardiovascular disorders, and its enlargement is usually an indicator of heart disease, hypertension, and diabetes. These subjects were a subset of the Cardiovascular Health Study, a prospective, community-based study of risk factors for cardiovascular disease conducted in 5888 elderly participants. We found that LA size is more strongly related to measures of body weight than to body height and developed a series of reference equations that predict what the normal LA dimensions and volumes should be, based on the subject’s age, height, and weight. We have also created some nomograms that will enable clinicians to easily diagnose LA enlargement. We hope that these data can be used to help better determine what a normal LA size should be. An earlier diagnosis of LA enlargement might permit earlier preventive cardiology strategies in, for example, hypertensive disease.

**CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

Left atrial (LA) size is increased in a variety of cardiovascular disorders, and its enlargement is usually an indicator of chronically increased LA pressure and/or volume. Because the prevalence of LA enlargement increases with age, it is critical to have accurate normative reference ranges for heart sizes to correctly predict cardiovascular risk in the elderly. At present, there is a dearth of such reference data in population-based samples and in women. Accordingly, we have evaluated parameters of LA size in 230 healthy men and women (mean age, 76±5 years) who were judged to be free of heart disease, hypertension, and diabetes. These subjects were a subset of the Cardiovascular Health Study, a prospective, community-based study of risk factors for cardiovascular disease conducted in 5888 elderly participants. We found that LA size is more strongly related to measures of body weight than to body height and developed a series of reference equations that predict what the normal LA dimensions and volumes should be, based on the subject’s age, height, and weight. We have also created some nomograms that will enable clinicians to easily diagnose LA enlargement. We hope that these data can be used to help better determine what a normal LA size should be. An earlier diagnosis of LA enlargement might permit earlier preventive cardiology strategies in, for example, hypertensive disease.
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